LEAF

Lightweight Error Augmentation Framework written in C++11 | Emil Dotchevski

Abstract

Boost LEAF is a lightweight error handling library for C++11. Features:

- Small single-header format, no dependencies.

- Designed for maximum efficiency ("happy" path and "sad" path).

- No dynamic memory allocations, even with heavy payloads.

- O(1) transport of arbitrary error types (independent of call stack depth).

- Can be used with or without exception handling.

- Support for multi-thread programming.

LEAF is designed with a strong bias towards the common use case where callers of functions which may fail check for success and forward errors up the call stack but do not handle them. In this case, only a trivial success-or-failure discriminant is transported. Actual error objects are communicated directly to the error handling scope, skipping the intermediate check-only frames altogether.

Support

- [cpplang on Slack](#) (use the `#boost` channel)
- [Boost Users Mailing List](#)
- [Boost Developers Mailing List](#)
- [Report an issue](#) on GitHub

Portability

LEAF requires only C++11, but is tested on many compiler versions and C++ standards.

The library uses thread-local storage for pointers only. By default, this is implemented via the C++11 `thread_local` storage class specifier, however it is easy to use a platform-specific TLS API instead; for example LEAF ships with built-in support for FreeRTOS. See Configuration.

Distribution

Copyright © 2018-2021 Emil Dotchevski. Distributed under the <u>Boost Software License, Version 1.0</u>.

There are three distribution channels:

- LEAF is included in official <u>Boost</u> releases, starting with Boost 1.75.

- The source code is hosted on <u>GitHub</u>.

- For maximum portability, the latest LEAF release is also available in single-header format: simply download <u>leaf.hpp</u> (direct download link).

> 🛈   LEAF does not depend on Boost or other libraries.

Tutorial

What is a failure? It is simply the inability of a function to return a valid result, instead producing an error object describing the reason for the failure.

A typical design is to return a variant type, e.g. `result<T, E>`. Internally, such variant types must store a discriminant (in this case a boolean) to indicate whether the object holds a `T` or an `E`.

The design of LEAF is informed by the observation that the immediate caller must have access to the discriminant in order to determine the availability of a valid `T`, but otherwise it rarely needs to access the `E`. The error object is only needed once an error handling scope is reached.

Therefore what would have been a `result<T, E>` becomes `result<T>`, which stores the discriminant and (optionally) a `T`, while the `E` is communicated directly to the error handling scope where it is needed.

The benefit of this decomposition is that `result<T>` becomes extremely lightweight, as it is not coupled with error types; further, error objects are communicated in O(1) time (independent of the call stack depth). Even very large objects are handled efficiently without dynamic memory allocation.

Reporting Errors

A function that reports an error is pretty straight-forward:

```
enum class err1 { e1, e2, e3 };

leaf::result<T> f()
{
  ....
  if( error_detected )
    return leaf::new_error( err1::e1 ); // Pass an error object of any type

  // Produce and return a T.
}
```

result | new_error

Checking for Errors

Checking for errors communicated by a `leaf::result<T>` works as expected:

```
leaf::result<U> g()
{
  leaf::result<T> r = f();
  if( !r )
    return r.error();

  T const & v = r.value();
  // Use v to produce a valid U
}
```

<div align="right">

<u>result</u>

</div>

The boilerplate `if` statement can be avoided using `BOOST_LEAF_AUTO`:

```
leaf::result<U> g()
{
  BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v, f()); // Bail out on error

  // Use v to produce a valid U
}
```

<div align="right">

<u>BOOST_LEAF_AUTO</u> │ <u>BOOST_LEAF_CHECK</u>

</div>

Error Handling

Error handling scopes must use a special syntax to indicate that they need to access error objects. The following excerpt attempts several operations and handles errors of type `err1`:

```
leaf::result<U> r = leaf::try_handle_some(

  []() -> leaf::result<U>
  {
    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v1, f1());
    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v2, f2());

    return g(v1, v2);
  },

  []( err1 e ) -> leaf::result<U>
  {
    if( e == err1::e1 )
      .... // Handle err1::e1
    else
      .... // Handle any other err1 value
  } );
```

The first lambda passed to `try_handle_some` is executed first; it attempts to produce a `result<U>`, but it may fail (we presume that `f1` and `f2` return `leaf::result<T>`, and `g` takes two arguments of type `T` and returns a `leaf::result<U>`).

The second lambda is an error handler: it will be called iff the first lambda fails and an error object of type `err1` was communicated to LEAF. That object is stored on the stack, local to the `try_handle_some` function (LEAF knows to allocate this storage because we gave it an error handler that takes an `err1`). Error handlers passed to `leaf::try_handle_some` can return a valid `leaf::result<U>` but are allowed to fail.

It is possible for an error handler to specify that it can only deal with a particular value of a given error type:

```
leaf::result<U> r = leaf::try_handle_some(

  []() -> leaf::result<U>
  {
    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v1, f1());
    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v2, f2());

    return g(v1. v2);
  },

  []( leaf::match<err1, err1::e1> ) -> leaf::result<U>
  {
    // Handle err::e1
  },

  []( err1 e ) -> leaf::result<U>
  {
    // Handle any other err1 value
  } );
```

LEAF considers the provided error handlers in order, and calls the first one for which it can supply arguments, based on the error objects currently being communicated. Above:

- The first error handler uses the predicate `leaf::match` to specify that it should only be considered if an error object of type `err1` is available, and its value is `err1::e1`.

- Otherwise the second error handler will be called if an error object of type `err1` is available, regardless of its value.

- Otherwise `leaf::try_handle_some` fails.

If we want to ensure that all possible failures are handled, we use `leaf::try_handle_all` instead of `leaf::try_handle_some`:

```
U r = leaf::try_handle_all(

  []() -> leaf::result<U>
  {
    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v1, f1());
    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v2, f2());

    return g(v1. v2);
  },

  []( leaf::match<err1, err1::e1> ) -> U
  {
    // Handle err::e1
  },

  []( err1 e ) -> U
  {
    // Handle any other err1 value
  },

  []() -> U
  {
    // Handle any other failure
  } );
```

The `leaf::try_handle_all` function enforces at compile time that at least one of the supplied error handlers takes no arguments (and therefore is able to handle any failure). In addition, all error handlers are forced to return a valid `U`, rather than a `leaf::result<U>`, so that `leaf::try_handle_all` is guaranteed to succeed, always.

Working with Different Error Types

It is of course possible to provide different handlers for different error types:

```
enum class err1 { e1, e2, e3 };
enum class err2 { e1, e2 };

....

leaf::result<U> r = leaf::try_handle_some(

  []() -> leaf::result<U>
  {
    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v1, f1());
    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v2, f2());

    return g(v1, v2);
  },

  []( err1 e ) -> leaf::result<U>
  {
    // Handle errors of type `err1`.
  },

  []( err2 e ) -> leaf::result<U>
  {
    // Handle errors of type `err2`.
  } );
```

<div align="right">

try_handle_some | result | BOOST_LEAF_AUTO

</div>

In this case, because we have supplied handlers for `err1` and for `err2`, `try_handle_some` knows to allocate storage on the stack for error objects of both types.

Working with Multiple Error Objects

It is possible for an error handler to require more than one error object:

```
enum class err1 { e1, e2, e3 };
enum class err2 { e1, e2 };

....

leaf::result<U> r = leaf::try_handle_some(

  []() -> leaf::result<U>
  {
    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v1, f1());
    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v2, f2());

    return g(v1, v2);
  },

  []( err1 e1, err2 e2 ) -> leaf::result<U>
  {
    // Handle failures which communicate both an err1 and an err2 object.
  } );
```

<div align="right">

try_handle_some | result | BOOST_LEAF_AUTO

</div>

Naturally, `leaf::new_error` can be invoked with multiple error objects:

```
leaf::result<T> f()
{
  ....
  if( error_detected )
    return leaf::new_error(err1::e1, err2::e2);

  // Produce and return a T.
}
```

<div align="right">

result | new_error

</div>

As well, `leaf::on_error` can be used to automatically associate additional error objects with any failure that is "in flight":

```
enum class io_error { open_error, read_error, write_error };
enum class parse_error { bad_syntax, bad_range };

leaf::result<int> parse_line( FILE * f );

struct e_line { int value; };

leaf::result<void> process_file( FILE * f )
{
  for( int current_line = 1; current_line != 10; ++current_line )
  {
    auto load = leaf::on_error( e_line{ current_line } );

    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v, parse_line(f));

    // use v
  }
}
```

on_error | BOOST_LEAF_AUTO

Presumably, `parse_line` could fail with an `io_error` or with a `parse_error`, but `process_file` does not handle errors, so it remains neutral to failures, except to attach the `current_line` if something goes wrong. The object returned by `on_error` holds a copy of the `current_line` wrapped in `struct e_line`. If `parse_line` succeeds, the `e_line` object is simply discarded; but if it fails, the `e_line` object will be automatically attached to the failure.

Such failures can then be handled like so:

```
leaf::result<void> r = leaf::try_handle_some(

  []() -> leaf::result<void>
  {
    BOOST_LEAF_CHECK( process_file(f) );
  },

  []( parse_error e, e_line current_line  )
  {
    std::cerr << "Parse error at line " << current_line.value << std::endl;
  },

  []( io_error e, e_line current_line )
  {
    std::cerr << "I/O error at line " << current_line.value << std::endl;
  },

  []( io_error e )
  {
    std::cerr << "I/O error" << std::endl;
  } );
```

Remember, error handlers are considered in order, so the last one will be called if we get an `io_error` but no `e_line` was communicated to LEAF. Alternatively, we can provide a single `io_error` handler that takes `current_line` as a pointer-to-const:

```
leaf::result<void> r = leaf::try_handle_some(

  []() -> leaf::result<void>
  {
    BOOST_LEAF_CHECK( process_file(f) );
  },

  []( parse_error e, e_line current_line )
  {
    std::cerr << "Parse error at line " << current_line.value << std::endl;
  },

  []( io_error e, e_line const * current_line )
  {
    std::cerr << "Parse error";
    if( current_line )
      std::cerr << " at line " << current_line->value;
    std::cerr << std::endl;
  } );
```

In essence, now the `e_line` argument is optional, LEAF will provide it if it is available, otherwise pass a null pointer.

Exception Handling

What happens if an operation throws an exception? Not to worry, both `leaf::try_handle_some` and `leaf::try_handle_all` catch exceptions and are able to pass them to any compatible error handler:

```cpp
leaf::result<void> r = leaf::try_handle_some(

  []() -> leaf::result<void>
  {
    BOOST_LEAF_CHECK( process_file(f) );
  },

  []( std::bad_alloc const & )
  {
    std::cerr << "Out of memory!" << std::endl;
  },

  []( parse_error e, e_line l )
  {
    std::cerr << "Parse error at line " << l.value << std::endl;
  },

  []( io_error e, e_line const * l )
  {
    std::cerr << "Parse error";
    if( l )
      std::cerr << " at line " << l.value;
    std::cerr << std::endl;
  } );
```

try_handle_some | result | BOOST_LEAF_CHECK

Above, we have simply added an error handler that takes a `std::bad_alloc`, and everything "just works" as expected: LEAF will dispatch error handlers correctly no matter if failures are communicated via `leaf::result` or by an exception.

Of course, if we use exception handling exclusively, we do not need `leaf::result` at all. In this case we use `leaf::try_catch`:

```
leaf::try_catch(

    []
    {
      process_file(f);
    },

    []( std::bad_alloc const & )
    {
      std::cerr << "Out of memory!" << std::endl;
    },

    []( parse_error e, e_line l )
    {
      std::cerr << "Parse error at line " << l.value << std::endl;
    },

    []( io_error e, e_line const * l )
    {
      std::cerr << "Parse error";
      if( l )
        std::cerr << " at line " << l.value;
      std::cerr << std::endl;
    } );
```

Remarkably, we did not have to change the error handlers! But how does this work? What kind of exceptions does `process_file` throw?

LEAF enables a novel technique of exception handling, which does not use exception type hierarchies to classify failures and does not carry data in exception objects. Recall that when failures are communicated via `leaf::result`, we call `leaf::new_error` in a `return` statement, passing any number of error objects which are sent directly to the correct error handling scope:

```
enum class err1 { e1, e2, e3 };
enum class err2 { e1, e2 };

....

leaf::result<T> f()
{
  ....
  if( error_detected )
    return leaf::new_error(err1::e1, err2::e2);

  // Produce and return a T.
}
```

When using exception handling this becomes:

```
enum class err1 { e1, e2, e3 };
enum class err2 { e1, e2 };

T f()
{
  if( error_detected )
    throw leaf::exception(err1::e1, err2::e2);

  // Produce and return a T.
}
```

The `leaf::exception` function handles the passed error objects just like `leaf::new_error` does, and then returns an object of a type that derives from `std::exception` (which the caller throws). Using this technique, the exception type is not important: `leaf::try_catch` catches all exceptions, then goes through the usual LEAF error handler selection machinery.

If instead we want to use the legacy convention of throwing different types to indicate different failures, we simply pass an exception object (that is, an object of a type that derives from `std::exception`) as the first argument to `leaf::exception`:

```
throw leaf::exception(std::runtime_error("Error!"), err1::e1, err2::e2);
```

In this case the returned object will be of type that derives from `std::runtime_error`, rather than from `std::exception`.

Finally, `leaf::on_error` "just works" as well. Here is our `process_file` function rewritten to throw on error, rather than return a `leaf::result`:

```
enum class io_error { open_error, read_error, write_error };
enum class parse_error { bad_syntax, bad_range };

int parse_line( FILE * f ); // Throws

struct e_line { int value; };

void process_file( FILE * f )
{
  for( int current_line = 1; current_line != 10; ++current_line )
  {
    auto load = leaf::on_error( e_line{ current_line } );
    int v = parse_line(f);

    // use v
  }
}
```

Using External `result` Types

Static type checking creates difficulties in error handling interoperability in any non-trivial project. Using exception handling alleviates this problem somewhat because in that case error types are not burned into function signatures, so errors easily punch through multiple layers of APIs; but this doesn't help C++ in general because the community is fractured on the issue of exception handling. Regardless of any arguments, the reality is that C++ programs need to be able to handle errors communicated through multiple layers of APIs via a plethora of error codes, `result` types and exceptions.

LEAF enables application developers to shake error objects out of each individual library's `result` type and send them to error handling scopes verbatim. Here is an example:

```
lib1::result<int, lib1::error_code> foo();
lib2::result<int, lib2::error_code> bar();

int g( int a, int b );

leaf::result<int> f()
{
  auto a = foo();
  if( !a )
    return leaf::new_error( a.error() );

  auto b = bar();
  if( !b )
    return leaf::new_error( b.error() );

  return g( a.value(), b.value() );
}
```

<div align="right">

[result](#) | [new_error](#)

</div>

Later we simply call `leaf::try_handle_some` passing an error handler for each type:

```
leaf::result<int> r = leaf::try_handle_some(

  []() -> leaf::result<int>
  {
    return f();
  },

  []( lib1::error_code ec ) -> leaf::result<int>
  {
    // Handle lib1::error_code
  },

  []( lib2::error_code ec ) -> leaf::result<int>
  {
    // Handle lib2::error_code
  } );
}
```

<div align="right">

[try_handle_some](#) | [result](#)

</div>

A possible complication is that we might not have the option to return `leaf::result<int>` from `f`: a third party API may impose a specific signature on it, forcing it to return a library-specific `result` type. This would be the case when `f` is intended to be used as a callback:

```
void register_callback( std::function<lib3::result<int>()> const & callback );
```

Can we use LEAF in this case? Actually we can, as long as `lib3::result` is able to communicate a `std::error_code`. We just have to let LEAF know, by specializing the `is_result_type` template:

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

template <class T>
struct is_result_type<lib3::result<T>>: std::true_type;

} }
```

<div align="right">

`is_result_type`

</div>

With this in place, `f` works as before, even though `lib3::result` isn't capable of transporting `lib1` errors or `lib2` errors:

```cpp
lib1::result<int, lib1::error_type> foo();
lib2::result<int, lib2::error_type> bar();

int g( int a, int b );

lib3::result<int> f()
{
  auto a = foo();
  if( !a )
    return leaf::new_error( a.error() );

  auto b = bar();
  if( !b )
    return leaf::new_error( b.error() );

  return g( a.value(), b.value() );
}
```

<div align="right">

`new_error`

</div>

The object returned by `leaf::new_error` converts implicitly to `std::error_code`, using a LEAF-specific `error_category`, which makes `lib3::result` compatible with `leaf::try_handle_some` (and with `leaf::try_handle_all`):

```
lib3::result<int> r = leaf::try_handle_some(

  []() -> lib3::result<int>
  {
    return f();
  },

  []( lib1::error_code ec ) -> lib3::result<int>
  {
    // Handle lib1::error_code
  },

  []( lib2::error_code ec ) -> lib3::result<int>
  {
    // Handle lib2::error_code
  } );
}
```

<div align="right">

<u>try_handle_some</u>

</div>

Error Communication Model

noexcept **API**

The following figure illustrates how error objects are transported when using LEAF without exception handling:

Figure 1. LEAF noexcept Error Communication Model

The arrows pointing down indicate the call stack order for the functions `f1` through `f5`: higher level functions calling lower level functions.

Note the call to `on_error` in `f3`: it caches the passed error objects of types `E1` and `E3` in the returned object `load`, where they stay ready to be communicated in case any function downstream from `f3` reports an error. Presumably these objects are relevant to any such failure, but are conveniently accessible only in this scope.

Figure 1 depicts the condition where `f5` has detected an error. It calls `leaf::new_error` to create a new, unique `error_id`. The passed error object of type `E2` is immediately loaded in the first active `context` object that provides static storage for it, found in any calling scope (in this case `f1`), and is associated with the newly-generated `error_id` (solid arrow);

The `error_id` itself is returned to the immediate caller `f4`, usually stored in a `result<T>` object `r`. That object takes the path shown by dashed arrows, as each error neutral function, unable to handle the failure, forwards it to its immediate caller in the returned value — until an error handling scope is reached.

When the destructor of the `load` object in `f3` executes, it detects that `new_error` was invoked after its initialization, loads the cached objects of types `E1` and `E3` in the first active `context` object that provides static storage for them, found in any calling scope (in this case `f1`), and associates them with the last generated `error_id` (solid arrow).

When the error handling scope `f1` is reached, it probes `ctx` for any error objects associated with

the `error_id` it received from `f2`, and processes a list of user-provided error handlers, in order, until it finds a handler with arguments that can be supplied using the available (in `ctx`) error objects. That handler is called to deal with the failure.

Exception Handling API

The following figure illustrates the slightly different error communication model used when errors are reported by throwing exceptions:


Figure 2. LEAF Error Communication Model Using Exception Handling

The main difference is that the call to `new_error` is implicit in the call to the function template `leaf::exception`, which in this case takes an exception object of type `Ex`, and returns an exception object of unspecified type that derives publicly from `Ex`.

Interoperability

Ideally, when an error is detected, a program using LEAF would always call <u>new_error</u>, ensuring that each encountered failure is definitely assigned a unique <u>error_id</u>, which then is reliably delivered, by an exception or by a `result<T>` object, to the appropriate error handling scope.

Alas, this is not always possible.

For example, the error may need to be communicated through uncooperative 3rd-party interfaces. To facilitate this transmission, a error ID may be encoded in a `std::error_code`. As long as a 3rd-party interface is able to transport a `std::error_code`, it should be compatible with LEAF.

Further, it is sometimes necessary to communicate errors through an interface that does not even use `std::error_code`. An example of this is when an external lower-level library throws an exception, which is unlikely to be able to carry an `error_id`.

To support this tricky use case, LEAF provides the function <u>current_error</u>, which returns the error ID returned by the most recent call (from this thread) to <u>new_error</u>. One possible approach to solving the problem is to use the following logic (implemented by the <u>error_monitor</u> type):

1. Before calling the uncooperative API, call <u>current_error</u> and cache the returned value.

2. Call the API, then call `current_error` again:

   a. If this returns the same value as before, pass the error objects to `new_error` to associate them with a new `error_id`;

   b. else, associate the error objects with the `error_id` value returned by the second call to `current_error`.

Note that if the above logic is nested (e.g. one function calling another), `new_error` will be called only by the inner-most function, because that call guarantees that all calling functions will hit the `else` branch.

For a detailed tutorial see <u>Using `error_monitor` to Report Arbitrary Errors from C-callbacks</u>.

> 💡 To avoid ambiguities, whenever possible, use the <u>exception</u> function template when throwing exceptions to ensure that the exception object transports a unique `error_id`; better yet, use the <u>BOOST_LEAF_THROW_EXCEPTION</u> macro, which in addition will capture `__FILE__` and `__LINE__`.

Loading of Error Objects

To load an error object is to move it into an active <u>context</u>, usually local to a <u>try_handle_some</u>, a <u>try_handle_all</u> or a <u>try_catch</u> scope in the calling thread, where it becomes uniquely associated with a specific <u>error_id</u> — or discarded if storage is not available.

Various LEAF functions take a list of error objects to load. As an example, if a function `copy_file` that takes the name of the input file and the name of the output file as its arguments detects a failure, it could communicate an error code `ec`, plus the two relevant file names using <u>new_error</u>:

```
return leaf::new_error(ec, e_input_name{n1}, e_output_name{n2});
```

Alternatively, error objects may be loaded using a `result<T>` that is already communicating an error. This way they become associated with that error, rather than with a new error:

```
leaf::result<int> f() noexcept;

leaf::result<void> g( char const * fn ) noexcept
{
  if( leaf::result<int> r = f() )
  { ①
    ....;
    return { };
  }
  else
  {
    return r.load( e_file_name{fn} ); ②
  }
}
```

<div align="right">

<u>result</u> | <u>load</u>

</div>

① Success! Use `r.value()`.

② `f()` has failed; here we associate an additional `e_file_name` with the error. However, this association occurs iff in the call stack leading to `g` there are error handlers that take an `e_file_name` argument. Otherwise, the object passed to `load` is discarded. In other words, the passed objects are loaded iff the program actually uses them to handle errors.

Besides error objects, `load` can take function arguments:

- If we pass a function that takes no arguments, it is invoked, and the returned error object is loaded.

  Consider that if we pass to `load` an error object that is not needed by any error handler, it will be discarded. If the object is expensive to compute, it would be better if the computation can be skipped as well. Passing a function with no arguments to `load` is an excellent way to achieve this behavior:

```
struct info { .... };

info compute_info() noexcept;

leaf::result<void> operation( char const * file_name ) noexcept
{
  if( leaf::result<int> r = try_something() )
  { ①
    ....
    return { };
  }
  else
  {
    return r.load( ②
      [&]
      {
        return compute_info();
      } );
  }
}
```

<div align="right">

[result](#) | [load](#)

</div>

① Success! Use `r.value()`.

② `try_something` has failed; `compute_info` will only be called if an error handler exists which takes a `info` argument.

- If we pass a function that takes a single argument of type `E &`, LEAF calls the function with the object of type `E` currently loaded in an active `context`, associated with the error. If no such object is available, a new one is default-initialized and then passed to the function.

  For example, if an operation that involves many different files fails, a program may provide for collecting all relevant file names in a `e_relevant_file_names` object:

```
struct e_relevant_file_names
{
  std::vector<std::string> value;
};

leaf::result<void> operation( char const * file_name ) noexcept
{
  if( leaf::result<int> r = try_something() )
  { ①
    ....
    return { };
  }
  else
  {
    return r.load( ②
      [&](e_relevant_file_names & e)
      {
        e.value.push_back(file_name);
      } );
  }
}
```

result | load

① Success! Use `r.value()`.

② `try_something` has failed — add `file_name` to the `e_relevant_file_names` object, associated with the `error_id` communicated in `r`. Note, however, that the passed function will only be called iff in the call stack there are error handlers that take an `e_relevant_file_names` object.

Using `on_error`

It is not typical for an error reporting function to be able to supply all of the data needed by a suitable error handling function in order to recover from the failure. For example, a function that reports FILE failures may not have access to the file name, yet an error handling function needs it in order to print a useful error message.

Of course the file name is typically readily available in the call stack leading to the failed FILE operation. Below, while `parse_info` can't report the file name, `parse_file` can and does:

```
leaf::result<info> parse_info( FILE * f ) noexcept; ①

leaf::result<info> parse_file( char const * file_name ) noexcept
{
  auto load = leaf::on_error(leaf::e_file_name{file_name}); ②

  if( FILE * f = fopen(file_name,"r") )
  {
    auto r = parse_info(f);
    fclose(f);
    return r;
  }
  else
    return leaf::new_error( error_enum::file_open_error );
}
```

<u>result</u> | <u>on_error</u> | <u>new_error</u>

① `parse_info` parses `f`, communicating errors using `result<info>`.

② Using `on_error` ensures that the file name is included with any error reported out of `parse_file`. All we need to do is hold on to the returned object `load`; when it expires, if an error is being reported, the passed `e_file_name` value will be automatically associated with it.

> 💡 `on_error` — like `load` — can be passed any number of arguments.

When we invoke `on_error`, we can pass three kinds of arguments:

1. Actual error objects (like in the example above);

2. Functions that take no arguments and return an error object;

3. Functions that take an error object by mutable reference.

If we want to use `on_error` to capture `errno`, we can't just pass <u>e_errno</u> to it, because at that time it hasn't been set (yet). Instead, we'd pass a function that returns it:

```
void read_file(FILE * f) {

  auto load = leaf::on_error([]{ return e_errno{errno}; });

  ....
  size_t nr1=fread(buf1,1,count1,f);
  if( ferror(f) )
    throw leaf::exception();

  size_t nr2=fread(buf2,1,count2,f);
  if( ferror(f) )
    throw leaf::exception();

  size_t nr3=fread(buf3,1,count3,f);
  if( ferror(f) )
    throw leaf::exception();
  ....
}
```

Above, if a `throw` statement is reached, LEAF will invoke the function passed to `on_error` and associate the returned `e_errno` object with the exception.

The final argument type that can be passed to `on_error` is a function that takes a single mutable error object reference. In this case, `on_error` uses it similarly to how such functions are used by `load`; see Loading of Error Objects.

Using Predicates to Handle Errors

Usually, LEAF error handlers are selected based on the type of the arguments they take and the type of the available error objects. When an error handler takes a predicate type as an argument, the handler selection procedure is able to also take into account the *value* of the available error objects.

Consider this error code enum:

```
enum class my_error
{
  e1=1,
  e2,
  e3
};
```

We could handle `my_error` errors like so:

```
return leaf::try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  []( my_error e )
  { ①
    switch(e)
    {
      case my_error::e1:
        ....; ②
        break;
      case my_error::e2:
      case my_error::e3:
        ....; ③
        break;
      default:
        ....; ④
        break;
  } );
```

① This handler will be selected if we've got a `my_error` object.

② Handle `e1` errors.

③ Handle `e2` and `e3` errors.

④ Handle bad `my_error` values.

If `my_error` object is available, LEAF will call our error handler. If not, the failure will be forwarded to our caller.

This can be rewritten using the `match` predicate to organize the different cases in different error handlers. The following is equivalent:

```
return leaf::try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  []( leaf::match<my_error, my_error::e1> m )
  { ①
    assert(m.matched == my_error::e1);
    ....;
  },

  []( leaf::match<my_error, my_error::e2, my_error::e3> m )
  { ②
    assert(m.matched == my_error::e2 || m.matched == my_error::e3);
    ....;
  },

  []( my_error e )
  { ③
    ....;
  } );
```

① We've got a `my_error` object that compares equal to `e1`.

② We`ve got a `my_error` object that compares equal to either `e2` or `e3`.

③ Handle bad `my_error` values.

The first argument to the `match` template generally specifies the type `E` of the error object `e` that must be available for the error handler to be considered at all. Typically, the rest of the arguments are values. The error handler is dropped if `e` does not compare equal to any of them.

In particular, `match` works great with `std::error_code`. The following handler is designed to handle `ENOENT` errors:

```
[]( leaf::match<std::error_code, std::errc::no_such_file_or_directory> )
{
}
```

This, however, requires C++17 or newer, because it is impossible to infer the type of the error enum (in this case, `std::errc`) from the specified type `std::error_code`, and C++11 does not allow `auto` template arguments. LEAF provides the following workaround, compatible with C++11:

```
[]( leaf::match<leaf::condition<std::errc>, std::errc::no_such_file_or_directory> )
{
}
```

In addition, it is possible to select a handler based on `std::error_category`. The following handler will match any `std::error_code` of the `std::generic_category` (requires C++17 or newer):

```
[]( std::error_code, leaf::category<std::errc>> )
{
}
```

💡 See <u>match</u> for more examples.

The following predicates are available:

- <u>match</u>: as described above.

- <u>match_value</u>: where `match<E, V…>` compares the object `e` of type `E` with the values `V…`, `match_value<E, V…>` compare `e.value` with the values `V…`.

- <u>match_member</u>: similar to `match_value`, but takes a pointer to the data member to compare; that is, `match_member<&E::value, V…>` is equvialent to `match_value<E, V…>`. Note, however, that `match_member` requires C++17 or newer, while `match_value` does not.

- <u>catch_</u>`<Ex…>`: Similar to `match`, but checks whether the caught `std::exception` object can be `dynamic_cast` to any of the `Ex` types.

- <u>if_not</u> is a special predicate that takes any other predicate `Pred` and requires that an error object of type `E` is available and that `Pred` evaluates to `false`. For example, `if_not<match<E, V…>>` requires that an object `e` of type `E` is available, and that it does not compare equal to any of the specified `V…`.

Finally, the predicate system is easily extensible, see **Predicates**.

ℹ See also **Working with `std::error_code, std::error_condition`**.

Binding Error Handlers in a `std::tuple`

Consider this snippet:

```
leaf::try_handle_all(

  [&]
  {
    return f(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  [](my_error_enum x)
  {
    ...
  },

  [](read_file_error_enum y, e_file_name const & fn)
  {
    ...
  },

  []
  {
    ...
  });
```

Looks pretty simple, but what if we need to attempt a different set of operations yet use the same handlers? We could repeat the same thing with a different function passed as `TryBlock` for `try_handle_all`:

```
leaf::try_handle_all(

  [&]
  {
    return g(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  [](my_error_enum x)
  {
    ...
  },

  [](read_file_error_enum y, e_file_name const & fn)
  {
    ...
  },

  []
  {
    ...
  });
```

That works, but it is better to bind our error handlers in a `std::tuple`:

```
auto error_handlers = std::make_tuple(

  [](my_error_enum x)
  {
    ...
  },

  [](read_file_error_enum y, e_file_name const & fn)
  {
    ...
  },

  []
  {
    ...
  });
```

The `error_handlers` tuple can later be used with any error handling function:

```
leaf::try_handle_all(

   [&]
   {
      // Operations which may fail ①
   },

   error_handlers );

leaf::try_handle_all(

   [&]
   {
      // Different operations which may fail ②
   },

   error_handlers ); ③
```

① One set of operations which may fail…

② A different set of operations which may fail…

③ … both using the same `error_handlers`.

Error handling functions accept a `std::tuple` of error handlers in place of any error handler. The behavior is as if the tuple is unwrapped in-place.

Transporting Error Objects Between Threads

Error objects are stored on the stack in an instance of the `context` class template in the scope of e.g. `try_handle_some`, `try_handle_all` or `try_catch` functions. When using concurrency, we need a mechanism to collect error objects in one thread, then use them to handle errors in another thread.

LEAF offers two interfaces for this purpose, one using `result<T>`, and another designed for programs that use exception handling.

Using `result<T>`

Let's assume we have a `task` that we want to launch asynchronously, which produces a `task_result` but could also fail:

```
leaf::result<task_result> task();
```

Because the task will run asynchronously, in case of a failure we need it to capture the relevant

error objects but not handle errors. To this end, in the main thread we bind our error handlers in a `std::tuple`, which we will later use to handle errors from each completed asynchronous task (see tutorial):

```cpp
auto error_handlers = std::make_tuple(

  [](E1 e1, E2 e2)
  {
    //Deal with E1, E2
    ....
    return { };
  },

  [](E3 e3)
  {
    //Deal with E3
    ....
    return { };
  } );
```

Why did we start with this step? Because we need to create a context object to collect the error objects we need. We could just instantiate the `context` template with `E1`, `E2` and `E3`, but that would be prone to errors, since it could get out of sync with the handlers we use. Thankfully LEAF can deduce the types we need automatically, we just need to show it our `error_handlers`:

```cpp
std::shared_ptr<leaf::polymorphic_context> ctx = leaf::make_shared_context
(error_handlers);
```

The `polymorphic_context` type is an abstract base class that has the same members as any instance of the `context` class template, allowing us to erase its exact type. In this case what we're holding in `ctx` is a `context<E1, E2, E3>`, where `E1`, `E2` and `E3` were deduced automatically from the `error_handlers` tuple we passed to `make_shared_context`.

We're now ready to launch our asynchronous task:

```cpp
std::future<leaf::result<task_result>> launch_task() noexcept
{
  return std::async(
    std::launch::async,
    [&]
    {
      std::shared_ptr<leaf::polymorphic_context> ctx = leaf::make_shared_context
(error_handlers);
      return leaf::capture(ctx, &task);
    } );
}
```

That's it! Later when we get the std::future, we can process the returned result<task_result> in a call to try_handle_some, using the error_handlers tuple we created earlier:

```
//std::future<leaf::result<task_result>> fut;
fut.wait();

return leaf::try_handle_some(

  [&]() -> leaf::result<void>
  {
    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(r, fut.get());
    //Success!
    return { }
  },

  error_handlers );
```

The reason this works is that in case the leaf::result<T> communicates a failure, it is able to hold a shared_ptr<polymorphic_context> object. That is why earlier instead of calling task() directly, we called leaf::capture: it calls the passed function and, in case that fails, it stores the shared_ptr<polymorphic_context> we created in the returned result<T>, which now doesn't just communicate the fact that an error has occurred, but also holds the context object that try_handle_some needs in order to supply a suitable handler with arguments.

ℹ   Follow this link to see a complete example program: capture_in_result.cpp.

Using Exception Handling

Let's assume we have an asynchronous task which produces a task_result but could also throw:

```
task_result task();
```

Just like we saw in Using result<T>, first we will bind our error handlers in a std::tuple:

```
auto handle_errors = std::make_tuple(

  [](E1 e1, E2 e2)
  {
    //Deal with E1, E2

    ....
    return { };
  },

  [](E3 e3)
  {
    //Deal with E3

    ....
    return { };
  } );
```

Launching the task looks the same as before, except that we don't use `result<T>`:

```
std::future<task_result> launch_task()
{
  return std::async(
    std::launch::async,
    [&]
    {
      std::shared_ptr<leaf::polymorphic_context> ctx = leaf::make_shared_context(
&handle_error);
      return leaf::capture(ctx, &task);
    } );
}
```

That's it! Later when we `get` the `std::future`, we can process the returned `task_result` in a call to `try_catch`, using the `error_handlers` we saved earlier, as if it was generated locally:

```
//std::future<task_result> fut;
fut.wait();

return leaf::try_catch(

  [&]
  {
    task_result r = fut.get(); // Throws on error
    //Success!
  },

  error_handlers );
```

This works similarly to using `result<T>`, except that the `std::shared_ptr<polymorphic_context>` is transported in an exception object (of unspecified type which try_catch recognizes and then automatically unwraps the original exception).

> ℹ️ Follow this link to see a complete example program: capture_in_exception.cpp.

Classification of Failures

It is common for an interface to define an `enum` that lists all possible error codes that the API reports. The benefit of this approach is that the list is complete and usually well documented:

```
enum error_code
{
  ....
  read_error,
  size_error,
  eof_error,
  ....
};
```

The disadvantage of such flat enums is that they do not support handling of a whole class of failures. Consider the following LEAF error handler:

```
....
[](leaf::match<error_code, size_error, read_error, eof_error>, leaf::e_file_name const
& fn)
{
  std::cerr << "Failed to access " << fn.value << std::endl;
},
....
```

It will get called if the value of the `error_code` enum communicated with the failure is one of `size_error`, `read_error` or `eof_error`. In short, the idea is to handle any input error.

But what if later we add support for detecting and reporting a new type of input error, e.g. `permissions_error`? It is easy to add that to our `error_code` enum; but now our input error handler won't recognize this new input error — and we have a bug.

If we can use exceptions, the situation is better because exception types can be organized in a hierarchy in order to classify failures:

```
struct input_error: std::exception { };
struct read_error: input_error { };
struct size_error: input_error { };
struct eof_error: input_error { };
```

In terms of LEAF, our input error exception handler now looks like this:

```
[](input_error &, leaf::e_file_name const & fn)
{
  std::cerr << "Failed to access " << fn.value << std::endl;
},
```

This is future-proof, but still not ideal, because it is not possible to refine the classification of the failure after the exception object has been thrown.

LEAF supports a novel style of error handling where the classification of failures does not use error code values or exception type hierarchies. Instead of our `error_code` enum, we could define:

```
....
struct input_error { };
struct read_error { };
struct size_error { };
struct eof_error { };
....
```

With this in place, we could define a function `file_read`:

```
leaf::result<void> file_read( FILE & f, void * buf, int size )
{
  int n = fread(buf, 1, size, &f);

  if( ferror(&f) )
    return leaf::new_error(input_error{}, read_error{}, leaf::e_errno{errno}); ①

  if( n!=size )
    return leaf::new_error(input_error{}, eof_error{}); ②

  return { };
}
```

result | new_error | e_errno

① This error is classified as `input_error` and `read_error`.

② This error is classified as `input_error` and `eof_error`.

Or, even better:

38

```
leaf::result<void> file_read( FILE & f, void * buf, int size )
{
  auto load = leaf::on_error(input_error{}); ①

  int n = fread(buf, 1, size, &f);

  if( ferror(&f) )
    return leaf::new_error(read_error{}, leaf::e_errno{errno}); ②

  if( n!=size )
    return leaf::new_error(eof_error{}); ③

  return { };
}
```

result | on_error | new_error | e_errno

① Any error escaping this scope will be classified as `input_error`

② In addition, this error is classified as `read_error`.

③ In addition, this error is classified as `eof_error`.

This technique works just as well if we choose to use exception handling, we just call `leaf::exception` instead of `leaf::new_error`:

```
void file_read( FILE & f, void * buf, int size )
{
  auto load = leaf::on_error(input_error{});

  int n = fread(buf, 1, size, &f);

  if( ferror(&f) )
    throw leaf::exception(read_error{}, leaf::e_errno{errno});

  if( n!=size )
    throw leaf::exception(eof_error{});
}
```

on_error | exception | e_errno

> If the type of the first argument passed to `leaf::exception` derives from `std::exception`, it will be used to initialize the returned exception object taken by `throw`. Here this is not the case, so the function returns a default-initialized `std::exception` object, while the first (and any other) argument is associated with the failure.

Now we can write a future-proof handler for any `input_error`:

```
....
[](input_error, leaf::e_file_name const & fn)
{
  std::cerr << "Failed to access " << fn.value << std::endl;
},
....
```

Remarkably, because the classification of the failure does not depend on error codes or on exception types, this error handler can be used with `try_catch` if we use exception handling, or with `try_handle_some`/`try_handle_all` if we do not.

Converting Exceptions to `result<T>`

It is sometimes necessary to catch exceptions thrown by a lower-level library function, and report the error through different means, to a higher-level library which may not use exception handling.

> Error handlers that take arguments of types that derive from `std::exception` work correctly — regardless of whether the error object itself is thrown as an exception, or <u>loaded</u> into a <u>context</u>. The technique described here is only needed when the exception must be communicated through functions which are not exception-safe, or are compiled with exception handling disabled.

Suppose we have an exception type hierarchy and a function `compute_answer_throws`:

```
class error_base: public std::exception { };
class error_a: public error_base { };
class error_b: public error_base { };
class error_c: public error_base { };

int compute_answer_throws()
{
  switch( rand()%4 )
  {
    default: return 42;
    case 1: throw error_a();
    case 2: throw error_b();
    case 3: throw error_c();
  }
}
```

We can write a simple wrapper using `exception_to_result`, which calls `compute_answer_throws` and switches to `result<int>` for error handling:

```
leaf::result<int> compute_answer() noexcept
{
  return leaf::exception_to_result<error_a, error_b>(
    []
    {
      return compute_answer_throws();
    } );
}
```

The `exception_to_result` template takes any number of exception types. All exception types thrown by the passed function are caught, and an attempt is made to convert the exception object to each of the specified types. Each successfully-converted slice of the caught exception object, as well as the return value of `std::current_exception`, are copied and loaded, and in the end the exception is converted to a `result<T>` object.

(In our example, `error_a` and `error_b` slices as communicated as error objects, but `error_c` exceptions will still be captured by `std::exception_ptr`).

Here is a simple function which prints successfully computed answers, forwarding any error (originally reported by throwing an exception) to its caller:

```
leaf::result<void> print_answer() noexcept
{
  BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(answer, compute_answer());
  std::cout << "Answer: " << answer << std::endl;
  return { };
}
```

Finally, here is a scope that handles the errors — it will work correctly regardless of whether `error_a` and `error_b` objects are thrown as exceptions or not.

```
leaf::try_handle_all(

  []() -> leaf::result<void>
  {
    BOOST_LEAF_CHECK(print_answer());
    return { };
  },

  [](error_a const & e)
  {
    std::cerr << "Error A!" << std::endl;
  },

  [](error_b const & e)
  {
    std::cerr << "Error B!" << std::endl;
  },

  []
  {
    std::cerr << "Unknown error!" << std::endl;
  } );
```

try_handle_all | result | BOOST_LEAF_CHECK

🛈   The complete program illustrating this technique is available here.

Using `error_monitor` to Report Arbitrary Errors from C-callbacks

Communicating information pertaining to a failure detected in a C callback is tricky, because C callbacks are limited to a specific static signature, which may not use C++ types.

LEAF makes this easy. As an example, we'll write a program that uses Lua and reports a failure from a C++ function registered as a C callback, called from a Lua program. The failure will be propagated from C++, through the Lua interpreter (written in C), back to the C++ function which called it.

C/C++ functions designed to be invoked from a Lua program must use the following signature:

```
int do_work( lua_State * L ) ;
```

Arguments are passed on the Lua stack (which is accessible through L). Results too are pushed onto the Lua stack.

First, let's initialize the Lua interpreter and register a function, `do_work`, as a C callback available for Lua programs to call:

```cpp
std::shared_ptr<lua_State> init_lua_state() noexcept
{
  std::shared_ptr<lua_State> L(lua_open(), &lua_close); ①

  lua_register(&*L, "do_work", &do_work); ②

  luaL_dostring(&*L, "\ ③
\n      function call_do_work()\
\n          return do_work()\
\n      end");

  return L;
}
```

① Create a new `lua_State`. We'll use `std::shared_ptr` for automatic cleanup.

② Register the `do_work` C++ function as a C callback, under the global name `do_work`. With this, calls from Lua programs to `do_work` will land in the `do_work` C++ function.

③ Pass some Lua code as a `C` string literal to Lua. This creates a global Lua function called `call_do_work`, which we will later ask Lua to execute.

Next, let's define our `enum` used to communicate `do_work` failures:

```cpp
enum do_work_error_code
{
  ec1=1,
  ec2
};
```

We're now ready to define the `do_work` callback function:

```cpp
int do_work( lua_State * L ) noexcept
{
  bool success = rand() % 2; ①
  if( success )
  {
    lua_pushnumber(L, 42); ②
    return 1;
  }
  else
  {
    (void) leaf::new_error(ec1); ③
    return luaL_error(L, "do_work_error"); ④
  }
}
```

① "Sometimes" `do_work` fails.

② In case of success, push the result on the Lua stack, return back to Lua.

③ Generate a new `error_id` and associate a `do_work_error_code` with it. Normally, we'd return this in a `leaf::result<T>`, but the `do_work` function signature (required by Lua) does not permit this.

④ Tell the Lua interpreter to abort the Lua program.

Now we'll write the function that calls the Lua interpreter to execute the Lua function `call_do_work`, which in turn calls `do_work`. We'll return result`<int>`, so that our caller can get the answer in case of success, or an error:

```cpp
leaf::result<int> call_lua( lua_State * L )
{
  lua_getfield(L, LUA_GLOBALSINDEX, "call_do_work");

  error_monitor cur_err;
  if( int err = lua_pcall(L, 0, 1, 0) ) ①
  {
    auto load = leaf::on_error(e_lua_error_message{lua_tostring(L,1)}); ②
    lua_pop(L,1);

    return cur_err.assigned_error_id().load(e_lua_pcall_error{err}); ③
  }
  else
  {
    int answer = lua_tonumber(L, -1); ④
    lua_pop(L, 1);
    return answer;
  }
}
```

result | on_error | error_monitor

① Ask the Lua interpreter to call the global Lua function `call_do_work`.

② `on_error` works as usual.

③ `load` will use the `error_id` generated in our Lua callback. This is the same `error_id` the `on_error` uses as well.

④ Success! Just return the `int` answer.

Finally, here is the `main` function which exercises `call_lua`, each time handling any failure:

```cpp
int main() noexcept
{
  std::shared_ptr<lua_State> L=init_lua_state();

  for( int i=0; i!=10; ++i )
  {
    leaf::try_handle_all(

      [&]() -> leaf::result<void>
      {
        BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(answer, call_lua(&*L));
        std::cout << "do_work succeeded, answer=" << answer << '\n'; ①
        return { };
      },

      [](do_work_error_code e) ②
      {
        std::cout << "Got do_work_error_code = " << e <<  "!\n";
      },

      [](e_lua_pcall_error const & err, e_lua_error_message const & msg) ③
      {
        std::cout << "Got e_lua_pcall_error, Lua error code = " << err.value << ", "
<< msg.value << "\n";
      },

      [](leaf::error_info const & unmatched)
      {
        std::cerr <<
          "Unknown failure detected" << std::endl <<
          "Cryptic diagnostic information follows" << std::endl <<
          unmatched;
      } );
  }
}
```

try_handle_all | result | BOOST_LEAF_AUTO | error_info

① If the call to `call_lua` succeeded, just print the answer.

② Handle `do_work` failures.

③ Handle all other `lua_pcall` failures.

> **ℹ** Follow this link to see the complete program: lua_callback_result.cpp.

> **💡** When using Lua with C++, we need to protect the Lua interpreter from exceptions that may be thrown from C++ functions installed as `lua_CFunction` callbacks. Here is the program from this section rewritten to use a C++ exception to safely communicate errors out of the `do_work` function: lua_callback_eh.cpp.

Diagnostic Information

LEAF is able to automatically generate diagnostic messages that include information about all error objects available to error handlers:

```
enum class error_code
{
  read_error,
  write_error
};

....

leaf::try_handle_all(

  []() -> leaf::result<void> ①
  {
    ...
    return leaf::new_error( error_code::write_error, leaf::e_file_name{ "file.txt" }
);
  },

  []( leaf::match<error_code, error_code::read_error> ) ②
  {
    std::cerr << "Read error!" << std::endl;
  },

  []( leaf::verbose_diagnostic_info const & info ) ③
  {
    std::cerr << "Unrecognized error detected, cryptic diagnostic information
follows.\n" << info;
  } );
```

① We handle all failures that occur in this try block.

② One or more error handlers that should handle all possible failures.

③ The "catch all" error handler is required by `try_handle_all`. It will be called if LEAF is unable to use another error handler.

The `verbose_diagnostic_info` output for the snippet above tells us that we got an `error_code` with value 1 (`write_error`), and an object of type `e_file_name` with `"file.txt"` stored in its `.value`:

```
Unrecognized error detected, cryptic diagnostic information follows.
leaf::verbose_diagnostic_info for Error ID = 1:
[with Name = error_code]: 1
Unhandled error objects:
[with Name = boost::leaf::e_file_name]: file.txt
```

To print each error object, LEAF attempts to bind an unqualified call to `operator<<`, passing a `std::ostream` and the error object. If that fails, it will also attempt to bind `operator<<` that takes the `.value` of the error type. If that also does not compile, the error object value will not appear in diagnostic messages, though LEAF will still print its type.

Even with error types that define a printable `.value`, the user may still want to overload `operator<<` for the enclosing `struct`, e.g.:

```cpp
struct e_errno
{
  int value;

  friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream & os, e_errno const & e )
  {
    return os << "errno = " << e.value << ", \"" << strerror(e.value) << '"';
  }
};
```

The `e_errno` type above is designed to hold `errno` values. The defined `operator<<` overload will automatically include the output from `strerror` when `e_errno` values are printed (LEAF defines `e_errno` in `<boost/leaf/common.hpp>`, together with other commonly-used error types).

Using `verbose_diagnostic_info` comes at a cost. Normally, when the program attempts to communicate error objects of types which are not used in any error handling scope in the current call stack, they are discarded, which saves cycles. However, if an error handler is provided that takes `verbose_diagnostic_info` argument, before such objects are discarded, they are printed and appended to a `std::string` (this is the case with `e_file_name` in our example above). Such objects appear under `Unhandled error objects` in the output from `verbose_diagnostic_info`.

If handling `verbose_diagnostic_info` is considered too costly, use `diagnostic_info` instead:

```
leaf::try_handle_all(

  []() -> leaf::result<void>
  {
    ...
    return leaf::new_error( error_code::write_error, leaf::e_file_name{ "file.txt" }
);
  },

  []( leaf::match<error_code, error_code::read_error> )
  {
    std::cerr << "Read error!" << std::endl;
  },

  []( leaf::diagnostic_info const & info )
  {
    std::cerr << "Unrecognized error detected, cryptic diagnostic information
follows.\n" << info;
  } );
```

In this case, the output may look like this:

```
Unrecognized error detected, cryptic diagnostic information follows.
leaf::diagnostic_info for Error ID = 1:
[with Name = error_code]: 1
Detected 1 attempt to communicate an unexpected error object of type [with Name =
boost::leaf::e_file_name]
```

Notice how the diagnostic information for `e_file_name` changed: LEAF no longer prints it before discarding it, and so `diagnostic_info` can only inform about the type of the discarded object, but not its value.

> The automatically-generated diagnostic messages are developer-friendly, but not user-friendly. Therefore, `operator<<` overloads for error types should only print technical information in English, and should not attempt to localize strings or to format a user-friendly message; this should be done in error handling functions specifically designed for that purpose.

Working with `std::error_code`, `std::error_condition`

Introduction

The relationship between `std::error_code` and `std::error_condition` is not easily understood from reading the standard specifications. This section explains how they're supposed to be used,

and how LEAF interacts with them.

The idea behind `std::error_code` is to encode both an integer value representing an error code, as well as the domain of that value. The domain is represented by a `std::error_category` reference. Conceptually, a `std::error_code` is like a `pair<std::error_category const &, int>`.

Let's say we have this `enum`:

```cpp
enum class libfoo_error
{
  e1 = 1,
  e2,
  e3
};
```

We want to be able to transport `libfoo_error` values in `std::error_code` objects. This erases their static type, which enables them to travel freely across API boundaries. To this end, we must define a `std::error_category` that represents our `libfoo_error` type:

```cpp
std::error_category const & libfoo_error_category()
{
  struct category: std::error_category
  {
    char const * name() const noexcept override
    {
      return "libfoo";
    }

    std::string message(int code) const override
    {
      switch( libfoo_error(code) )
      {
        case libfoo_error::e1: return "e1";
        case libfoo_error::e2: return "e2";
        case libfoo_error::e3: return "e3";
        default: return "error";
      }
    }
  };

  static category c;
  return c;
}
```

We also need to inform the standard library that `libfoo_error` is compatible with `std::error_code`, and provide a factory function which can be used to make `std::error_code` objects out of `libfoo_error` values:

```
namespace std
{
  template <>
  struct is_error_code_enum<libfoo_error>: std::true_type
  {
  };
}

std::error_code make_error_code(libfoo_error e)
{
  return std::error_code(int(e), libfoo_error_category());
}
```

With this in place, if we receive a `std::error_code`, we can easily check if it represents some of the `libfoo_error` values we're interested in:

```
std::error_code f();

....
auto ec = f();
if( ec == libfoo_error::e1 || ec == libfoo_error::e2 )
{
  // We got either a libfoo_error::e1 or a libfoo_error::e2
}
```

This works because the standard library detects that `std::is_error_code_enum<libfoo_error>::value` is `true`, and then uses `make_error_code` to create a `std::error_code` object it actually uses to compare to `ec`.

So far so good, but remember, the standard library defines another type also, `std::error_condition`. The first confusing thing is that in terms of its physical representation, `std::error_condition` is identical to `std::error_code`; that is, it is also like a pair of `std::error_category` reference and an `int`. Why do we need two different types which use identical physical representation?

The key to answering this question is to understand that `std::error_code` objects are designed to be returned from functions to indicate failures. In contrast, `std::error_condition` objects are <u>never</u> supposed to be communicated; their purpose is to interpret the `std::error_code` values being communicated. The idea is that in a given program there may be multiple different "physical" (maybe platform-specific) `std::error_code` values which all indicate the same "logical" `std::error_condition`.

This leads us to the second confusing thing about `std::error_condition`: it uses the same `std::error_category` type, but for a completely different purpose: to specify what `std::error_code` values are equivalent to what `std::error_condition` values.

Let's say that in addition to `libfoo`, our program uses another library, `libbar`, which communicates failures in terms of `std::error_code` with a different error category. Perhaps

`libbar_error` looks like this:

```cpp
enum class libbar_error
{
  e1 = 1,
  e2,
  e3,
  e4
};


// Boilerplate omitted:
// - libbar_error_category()
// - specialization of std::is_error_code_enum
// - make_error_code factory function for libbar_error.
```

We can now use `std::error_condition` to define the *logical* error conditions represented by the `std::error_code` values communicated by `libfoo` and `libbar`:

```cpp
enum class my_error_condition  ①
{
  c1 = 1,
  c2
};

std::error_category const & libfoo_error_category()  ②
{
  struct category: std::error_category
  {
    char const * name() const noexcept override
    {
      return "my_error_condition";
    }

    std::string message(int cond) const override
    {
      switch( my_error_condition(code) )
      {
        case my_error_condition::c1: return "c1";
        case my_error_condition::c2: return "c2";
        default: return "error";
      }
    }

    bool equivalent(std::error_code const & code, int cond) const noexcept
    {
      switch( my_error_condition(cond) )
      {
        case my_error_condition::c1:  ③
          return
```

```
          code == libfoo_error::e1 ||
          code == libbar_error::e3 ||
          code == libbar_error::e4;
      case my_error_condition::c2: ④
        return
          code == libfoo_error::e2 ||
          code == libbar_error::e1 ||
          code == libbar_error::e2;
      default:
        return false;
    }
  }
};

static category c;
return c;
}

namespace std
{
  template <> ⑤
  class is_error_condition_enum<my_error_condition>: std::true_type
  {
  };
}

std::error_condition make_error_condition(my_error_condition e) ⑥
{
  return std::error_condition(int(e), my_error_condition_error_category());
}
```

① Enumeration of the two logical error conditions, `c1` and `c2`.

② Define the `std::error_category` for `std::error_condition` objects that represent a `my_error_condition`.

③ Here we specify that any of `libfoo:error::e1`, `libbar_error::e3` and `libbar_error::e4` are logically equivalent to `my_error_condition::c1`, and that...

④ ...any of `libfoo:error::e2`, `libbar_error::e1` and `libbar_error::e2` are logically equivalent to `my_error_condition::c2`.

⑤ This specialization tells the standard library that the `my_error_condition` enum is designed to be used with `std::error_condition`.

⑥ The factory function to make `std::error_condition` objects out of `my_error_condition` values.

Phew!

Now, if we have a `std::error_code` object `ec`, we can easily check if it is equivalent to `my_error_condition::c1` like so:

```
if( ec == my_error_condition::c1 )
{
  // We have a c1 in our hands
}
```

Again, remember that beyond defining the `std::error_category` for `std::error_condition` objects initialized with a `my_error_condition` value, we don't need to interact with the actual `std::error_condition` instances: they're created when needed to compare to a `std::error_code`, and that's pretty much all they're good for.

Support in LEAF

The `match` predicate can be used as an argument to a LEAF error handler to match a `std::error_code` with a given error condition. For example, to handle `my_error_condition::c1` (see above), we could use:

```
leaf::try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  []( leaf::match<std::error_code, my_error_condition::c1> m )
  {
    assert(m.matched == my_error_condition::c1);
    ....
  } );
```

See match for more examples.

Boost Exception Integration

Instead of the boost::get_error_info API defined by Boost Exception, it is possible to use LEAF error handlers directly. Consider the following use of `boost::get_error_info`:

```
typedef boost::error_info<struct my_info_, int> my_info;

void f(); // Throws using boost::throw_exception

void g()
{
  try
  {
    f();
  },
  catch( boost::exception & e )
  {
    if( int const * x = boost::get_error_info<my_info>(e) )
      std::cerr << "Got my_info with value = " << *x;
  } );
}
```

We can rewrite `g` to access `my_info` using LEAF:

```
#include <boost/leaf/handle_errors.hpp>

void g()
{
  leaf::try_catch(

    []
    {
      f();
    },

    []( my_info x )
    {
      std::cerr << "Got my_info with value = " << x.value();
    } );
}
```

Taking `my_info` means that the handler will only be selected if the caught exception object carries `my_info` (which LEAF accesses via `boost::get_error_info`).

The use of `match` is also supported:

```cpp
void g()
{
  leaf::try_catch(

    []
    {
      f();
    },

    []( leaf::match_value<my_info, 42> )
    {
      std::cerr << "Got my_info with value = 42";
    } );
}
```

Above, the handler will be selected if the caught exception object carries `my_info` with `.value()` equal to 42.

Examples

See <u>github</u>.

Synopsis

This section lists each public header file in LEAF, documenting the definitions it provides.

LEAF headers are designed to minimize coupling:

- Headers needed to report or forward but not handle errors are lighter than headers providing error handling functionality.
- Headers that provide exception handling or throwing functionality are separate from headers that provide error handling or reporting but do not use exceptions.

A standalone single-header option is available; please see <u>Distribution</u>.

Error Reporting

`error.hpp`

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  class error_id
  {
  public:

    error_id() noexcept;

    template <class Enum>
    error_id( Enum e, typename std::enable_if<std::is_error_code_enum<Enum>::
value, Enum>::type * = 0 ) noexcept;

    error_id( std::error_code const & ec ) noexcept;

    int value() const noexcept;
    explicit operator bool() const noexcept;

    std::error_code to_error_code() const noexept;

    friend bool operator==( error_id a, error_id b ) noexcept;
    friend bool operator!=( error_id a, error_id b ) noexcept;
    friend bool operator<( error_id a, error_id b ) noexcept;

    template <class... Item>
    error_id load( Item && ... item ) const noexcept;

    friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream & os, error_id x );
  };

  bool is_error_id( std::error_code const & ec ) noexcept;

  template <class... Item>
  error_id new_error( Item && ... item ) noexcept;

  error_id current_error() noexcept;

  /////////////////////////////////////////

  class polymorphic_context
  {
  protected:

    polymorphic_context() noexcept = default;
    ~polymorphic_context() noexcept = default;

  public:

    virtual void activate() noexcept = 0;
```

```cpp
    virtual void deactivate() noexcept = 0;
    virtual bool is_active() const noexcept = 0;

    virtual void propagate() noexcept = 0;

    virtual void print( std::ostream & ) const = 0;
  };

  ////////////////////////////////////////

  template <class Ctx>
  class context_activator
  {
    context_activator( context_activator const & ) = delete;
    context_activator & operator=( context_activator const & ) = delete;

  public:

    explicit context_activator( Ctx & ctx ) noexcept;
    context_activator( context_activator && ) noexcept;
    ~context_activator() noexcept;
  };

  template <class Ctx>
  context_activator<Ctx> activate_context( Ctx & ctx ) noexcept;

  template <class R>
  struct is_result_type: std::false_type
  {
  };

  template <class R>
  struct is_result_type<R const>: is_result_type<R>
  {
  };

} }

#define BOOST_LEAF_ASSIGN(v, r)\
  auto && <<temp>> = r;\
  if( !<<temp>> )\
    return <<temp>>.error();\
  v = std::forward<decltype(<<temp>>)>(<<temp>>).value()

#define BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v, r)\
  BOOST_LEAF_ASSIGN(auto v, r)

#define BOOST_LEAF_CHECK(r)\
    auto && <<temp>> = r;\
    if( <<temp>> )\
  ;\
```

```
        else\
            return <<temp>>.error()

#define BOOST_LEAF_NEW_ERROR <<inject e_source_location voodoo>>
::boost::leaf::new_error
```

common.hpp

#include <boost/leaf/common.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  struct e_api_function { char const * value; };

  struct e_file_name { std::string value; };

  struct e_type_info_name { char const * value; };

  struct e_at_line { int value; };

  struct e_errno
  {
    int value;
    friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream &, e_errno const & );
  };

  namespace windows
  {
    struct e_LastError
    {
      unsigned value;
      friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream &, e_LastError const & );
    };
  }

} }
```

result.hpp

#include <boost/leaf/result.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class T>
  class result
  {
  public:

    result() noexcept;
    result( T && v ) noexcept;
    result( T const & v );

    template <class U>
    result( U && u, <<enabled_if_T_can_be_inited_with_U>> );

    result( error_id err ) noexcept;
    result( std::shared_ptr<polymorphic_context> && ctx ) noexcept;

    template <class Enum>
    result( Enum e, typename std::enable_if<std::is_error_code_enum<Enum>::value,
Enum>::type * = 0 ) noexcept;

    result( std::error_code const & ec ) noexcept;

    result( result && r ) noexcept;

    template <class U>
    result( result<U> && r ) noexcept;

    result & operator=( result && r ) noexcept;

    template <class U>
    result & operator=( result<U> && r ) noexcept;

    bool has_value() const noexcept;
    bool has_error() const noexcept;
    explicit operator bool() const noexcept;

    T const & value() const;
    T & value();

    T const * operator->() const noexcept;
    T * operator->() noexcept;

    T const & operator*() const noexcept;
    T & operator*() noexcept;

    <<unspecified-type>> error() noexcept;
```

```cpp
    template <class... Item>
    error_id load( Item && ... item ) noexcept;
  };

  template <>
  class result<void>
  {
  public:

    result() noexcept;

    result( error_id err ) noexcept;
    result( std::shared_ptr<polymorphic_context> && ctx ) noexcept;

    template <class Enum>
    result( Enum e, typename std::enable_if<std::is_error_code_enum<Enum>::value,
Enum>::type * = 0 ) noexcept;

    result( std::error_code const & ec ) noexcept;

    result( result && r ) noexcept;

    template <class U>
    result( result<U> && r ) noexcept;

    result & operator=( result && r ) noexcept;

    template <class U>
    result & operator=( result<U> && r ) noexcept;

    explicit operator bool() const noexcept;

    void value() const;

    <<unspecified-type>> error() noexcept;

    template <class... Item>
    error_id load( Item && ... item ) noexcept;
  };

  struct bad_result: std::exception { };

  template <class T>
  struct is_result_type<result<T>>: std::true_type
  {
  };

} }
```

on_error.hpp

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... Item>
  <<unspecified-type>> on_error( Item && ... e ) noexcept;

  class error_monitor
  {
  public:

    error_monitor() noexcept;

    error_id check() const noexcept;
    error_id assigned_error_id() const noexcept;
  };

} }
```

Reference: on_error | error_monitor

exception.hpp

#include <boost/leaf/exception.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class Ex, class... E> ①
  <<unspecified-exception-type>> exception( Ex &&, E && ... ) noexcept;

  template <class E1, class... E> ②
  <<unspecified-exception-type>> exception( E1 &&, E && ... ) noexcept;

  <<unspecified-exception-type>> exception() noexcept;

  template <class Ex, class... E> ①
  <<unspecified-exception-type>> exception( error_id id, Ex &&, E && ... )
noexcept;

  template <class E1, class... E> ②
  <<unspecified-exception-type>> exception( error_id id, E1 &&, E && ... )
noexcept;

  <<unspecified-exception-type>> exception( error_id id ) noexcept;

  template <class... Ex, class F>
  <<result<T>-deduced>> exception_to_result( F && f ) noexcept;

} }

#define BOOST_LEAF_EXCEPTION <<inject e_source_location voodoo>>
::boost::leaf::exception

#define BOOST_LEAF_THROW_EXCEPTION <<inject e_source_location + invoke
boost::throw_exception voodoo>> ::boost::leaf::exception
```

Reference: exception | BOOST_LEAF_EXCEPTION | BOOST_LEAF_THROW_EXCEPTION

① Only enabled if std::is_base_of<std::exception, Ex>::value.

② Only enabled if !std::is_base_of<std::exception, E1>::value.

`capture.hpp`

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class F, class... A>
  decltype(std::declval<F>()(std::forward<A>(std::declval<A>())...))
  capture(std::shared_ptr<polymorphic_context> && ctx, F && f, A... a);

} }
```

Reference: <u>capture</u> | <u>exception_to_result</u>

Error Handling

`context.hpp`

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... E>
  class context
  {
    context( context const & ) = delete;
    context & operator=( context const & ) = delete;

  public:

    context() noexcept;
    context( context && x ) noexcept;
    ~context() noexcept;

    void activate() noexcept;
    void deactivate() noexcept;
    bool is_active() const noexcept;

    void propagate () noexcept;

    void print( std::ostream & os ) const;

    template <class R, class... H>
    R handle_error( R &, H && ... ) const;
  };

  /////////////////////////////////////////

  template <class... H>
  using context_type_from_handlers = typename <<unspecified>>::type;

  template <class...  H>
  BOOST_LEAF_CONSTEXPR context_type_from_handlers<H...> make_context() noexcept;

  template <class...  H>
  BOOST_LEAF_CONSTEXPR context_type_from_handlers<H...> make_context( H && ... )
noexcept;

  template <class...  H>
  context_ptr make_shared_context() noexcept;

  template <class...  H>
  context_ptr make_shared_context( H && ... ) noexcept;

} }
```

Reference: <u>context</u> | <u>context_type_from_handlers</u> | <u>make_context</u> | <u>make_shared_context</u>

handle_errors.hpp

#include <boost/leaf/handle_errors.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class TryBlock, class... H>
  typename std::decay<decltype(std::declval<TryBlock>()().value())>::type
  try_handle_all( TryBlock && try_block, H && ... h );

  template <class TryBlock, class... H>
  typename std::decay<decltype(std::declval<TryBlock>()())>::type
  try_handle_some( TryBlock && try_block, H && ... h );

  template <class TryBlock, class... H>
  typename std::decay<decltype(std::declval<TryBlock>()())>::type
  try_catch( TryBlock && try_block, H && ... h );

  /////////////////////////////////////////

  class error_info
  {
    //No public constructors

  public:

    error_id error() const noexcept;

    bool exception_caught() const noexcept;
    std::exception const * exception() const noexcept;

    friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream & os, error_info const & x );
  };

  class diagnostic_info: public error_info
  {
    //No public constructors

    friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream & os, diagnostic_info const & x
);
  };

  class verbose_diagnostic_info: public error_info
  {
    //No public constructors

    friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream & os, diagnostic_info const & x
);
  };

} }
```

Reference: <u>try_handle_all</u> | <u>try_handle_some</u> | <u>try_catch</u> | <u>error_info</u> | <u>diagnostic_info</u> | <u>verbose_diagnostic_info</u>

`pred.hpp`

#include <boost/leaf/pred.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class T>
  struct is_predicate: std::false_type
  {
  };

  template <class E, auto... V>
  struct match
  {
    E matched;

    // Other members not specified
  };

  template <class E, auto... V>
  struct is_predicate<match<E, V...>>: std::true_type
  {
  };

  template <class E, auto... V>
  struct match_value
  {
    E matched;

    // Other members not specified
  };

  template <class E, auto... V>
  struct is_predicate<match_value<E, V...>>: std::true_type
  {
  };

  template <auto, auto...>
  struct match_member;

  template <class E, class T, T E::* P, auto... V>
  struct member<P, V...>
  {
    E matched;

    // Other members not specified
  };

  template <auto P, auto... V>
  struct is_predicate<match_member<P, V...>>: std::true_type
  {
  };
```

```cpp
    template <class... Ex>
    struct catch_
    {
      std::exception const & matched;

      // Other members not specified
    };

    template <class Ex>
    struct catch_<Ex>
    {
      Ex const & matched;

      // Other members not specified
    };

    template <class... Ex>
    struct is_predicate<catch_<Ex...>>: std::true_type
    {
    };

    template <class Pred>
    struct if_not
    {
      E matched;

      // Other members not specified
    };

    template <class Pred>
    struct is_predicate<if_not<Pred>>: std::true_type
    {
    };

    template <class ErrorCodeEnum>
    bool category( std::error_code const & ec ) noexcept;

    template <class Enum, class EnumType = Enum>
    struct condition;

} }
```

Reference: <u>match</u> | <u>match value</u> | <u>match member</u> | <u>catch_</u> | <u>if not</u> | <u>category</u> | <u>condition</u>

71

Reference: Functions

💡 The contents of each Reference section are organized alphabetically.

activate_context

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class Ctx>
  context_activator<Ctx> activate_context( Ctx & ctx ) noexcept
  {
    return context_activator<Ctx>(ctx);
  }

} }
```

context_activator

Example:

```cpp
leaf::context<E1, E2, E3> ctx;

{
  auto active_context = activate_context(ctx); ①
} ②
```

① Activate `ctx`.

② Automatically deactivate `ctx`.

capture

#include <boost/leaf/capture.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class F, class... A>
  decltype(std::declval<F>()(std::forward<A>(std::declval<A>())...))
  capture(std::shared_ptr<polymorphic_context> && ctx, F && f, A... a);

} }
```

polymorphic_context

This function can be used to capture error objects stored in a context in one thread and transport

them to a different thread for handling, either in a <u>`result`</u>`<T>` object or in an exception.

Returns:

The same type returned by `F`.

Effects:

Uses an internal <u>`context_activator`</u> to <u>`activate`</u> `*ctx`, then invokes `std::forward<F>(f)(std::forward<A>(a)…)`. Then:

- If the returned value `r` is not a `result<T>` type (see <u>`is_result_type`</u>), it is forwarded to the caller.

- Otherwise:

  ◦ If `!r`, the return value of `capture` is initialized with `ctx`;

    > An object of type `leaf::`<u>`result`</u>`<T>` can be initialized with a `std::shared_ptr<leaf::polymorphic_context>`.

  ◦ otherwise, it is initialized with `r`.

In case `f` throws, `capture` catches the exception in a `std::exception_ptr`, and throws a different exception of unspecified type that transports both the `std::exception_ptr` as well as `ctx`. This exception type is recognized by <u>`try_catch`</u>, which automatically unpacks the original exception and propagates the contents of `*ctx` (presumably, in a different thread).

> See also <u>Transporting Error Objects Between Threads</u> from the Tutorial.

`context_type_from_handlers`

#include <boost/leaf/context.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... H>
  using context_type_from_handlers = typename <<unspecified>>::type;

} }
```

Example:

```
auto error_handlers = std::make_tuple(

  [](e_this const & a, e_that const & b)
  {
    ....
  },

  [](leaf::diagnostic_info const & info)
  {
    ....
  },
  .... );

leaf::context_type_from_handlers<decltype(error_handlers)> ctx; ①
```

① `ctx` will be of type `context<e_this, e_that>`, deduced automatically from the specified error handlers.

> 💡 Alternatively, a suitable context may be created by calling `make_context`, or allocated dynamically by calling `make_shared_context`.

current_error

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  error_id current_error() noexcept;

} }
```

Returns:

The `error_id` value returned the last time `new_error` was invoked from the calling thread.

> 💡 See also `on_error`.

exception

#include <boost/leaf/exception.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class Ex, class... E> ①
  <<unspecified>> exception( Ex && ex, E && ... e ) noexcept;

  template <class E1, class... E> ②
  <<unspecified>> exception( E1 && e1, E && ... e ) noexcept;

  <<unspecified>> exception() noexcept; ③

  template <class Ex, class... E> ④
  <<unspecified>> exception( error_id id, Ex && ex, E && ... e ) noexcept;

  template <class E1, class... E> ⑤
  <<unspecified>> exception( error_id id, E1 && e1, E && ... e ) noexcept;

  <<unspecified>> exception( error_id id ) noexcept; ⑥

} }
```

The `exception` function is overloaded: it can be invoked with no arguments, or else there are several alternatives, selected using `std::enable_if` based on the type of the passed arguments:

① Selected if the first argument is not of type `error_id` and is an exception object, that is, iff `Ex` derives publicly from `std::exception`. In this case the return value is of unspecified type which derives publicly from `Ex` **and** from class <u>error_id</u>, such that:

  • its `Ex` subobject is initialized by `std::forward<Ex>(ex)`;

  • its `error_id` subobject is initialized by <u>new_error</u>(`std::forward<E>(e)…`).

② Selected if the first argument is not of type `error_id` and is not an exception object. In this case the return value is of unspecified type which derives publicly from `std::exception` **and** from class `error_id`, such that:

  • its `std::exception` subobject is default-initialized;

  • its `error_id` subobject is initialized by <u>new_error</u>(`std::forward<E1>(e1)`, `std::forward<E>(e)…`).

③ If the fuction is invoked without arguments, the return value is of unspecified type which derives publicly from `std::exception` **and** from class `error_id`, such that:

  • its `std::exception` subobject is default-initialized;

  • its `error_id` subobject is initialized by <u>new_error</u>().

④ Selected if the first argument is of type `error_id` and the second argument is an exception object, that is, iff `Ex` derives publicly from `std::exception`. In this case the return value is of unspecified type which derives publicly from `Ex` **and** from class <u>error_id</u>, such that:

  • its `Ex` subobject is initialized by `std::forward<Ex>(ex)`;

- its `error_id` subobject is initialized by `id.`<u>`load`</u>`(std::forward<E>(e)…)`.

⑤ Selected if the first argument is of type `error_id` and the second argument is not an exception object. In this case the return value is of unspecified type which derives publicly from `std::exception` **and** from class `error_id`, such that:

- its `std::exception` subobject is default-initialized;

- its `error_id` subobject is initialized by `id.`<u>`load`</u>`(std::forward<E1>(e1),` `std::forward<E>(e)…)`.

⑥ If `exception` is invoked with just an `error_id` object, the return value is of unspecified type which derives publicly from `std::exception` **and** from class `error_id`, such that:

- its `std::exception` subobject is default-initialized;

- its `error_id` subobject is initialized by copying from `id`.

> **ℹ** The first three overloads return an exception object that is associated with a new `error_id`. The second three overloads return an exception object that is associated with the specified `error_id`.

Example 1:

```
struct my_exception: std::exception { };

throw leaf::exception(my_exception{}); ①
```

① Throws an exception of a type that derives from `error_id` and from `my_exception` (because `my_exception` derives from `std::exception`).

Example 2:

```
enum class my_error { e1=1, e2, e3 }; ①

throw leaf::exception(my_error::e1);
```

① Throws an exception of a type that derives from `error_id` and from `std::exception` (because `my_error` does not derive from `std::exception`).

> **ℹ** To automatically capture `__FILE__`, `__LINE__` and `__FUNCTION__` with the returned object, use <u>`BOOST_LEAF_EXCEPTION`</u> instead of `leaf::exception`.

---

exception_to_result

#include <boost/leaf/exception.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... Ex, class F>
  <<result<T>-deduced>> exception_to_result( F && f ) noexcept;


} }
```

This function can be used to catch exceptions from a lower-level library and convert them to result<T>.

Returns:

Where f returns a type T, exception_to_result returns leaf::result<T>.

Effects:

1. Catches all exceptions, then captures std::current_exception in a std::exception_ptr object, which is <u>loaded</u> with the returned result<T>.

2. Attempts to convert the caught exception, using dynamic_cast, to each type Ex_i in Ex…. If the cast to Ex_i succeeds, the Ex_i slice of the caught exception is loaded with the returned result<T>.

> 💡 An error handler that takes an argument of an exception type (that is, of a type that derives from std::exception) will work correctly whether the object is thrown as an exception or communicated via <u>new_error</u> (or converted using exception_to_result).

Example:

```
int compute_answer_throws();

//Call compute_answer, convert exceptions to result<int>
leaf::result<int> compute_answer()
{
  return leaf::exception_to_result<ex_type1, ex_type2>(compute_answer_throws());
}
```

At a later time we can invoke <u>try_handle_some</u> / <u>try_handle_all</u> as usual, passing handlers that take ex_type1 or ex_type2, for example by reference:

```
return leaf::try_handle_some(

  [] -> leaf::result<void>
  {
    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(answer, compute_answer());
    //Use answer
    ....
    return { };
  },

  [](ex_type1 & ex1)
  {
    //Handle ex_type1
    ....
    return { };
  },

  [](ex_type2 & ex2)
  {
    //Handle ex_type2
    ....
    return { };
  },

  [](std::exception_ptr const & p)
  {
    //Handle any other exception from compute_answer.
    ....
    return { };
  } );
```

try_handle_some | result | BOOST_LEAF_AUTO

⚠️ When a handler takes an argument of an exception type (that is, a type that derives from std::exception), if the object is thrown, the argument will be matched dynamically (using dynamic_cast); otherwise (e.g. after being converted by exception_to_result) it will be matched based on its static type only (which is the same behavior used for types that do not derive from std::exception).

💡 See also Converting Exceptions to result<T> from the tutorial.

make_context

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... H>
  context_type_from_handlers<H...> make_context() noexcept
  {
    return { };
  }

  template <class... H>
  context_type_from_handlers<H...> make_context( H && ... ) noexcept
  {
    return { };
  }

} }
```

context_type_from_handlers

Example:

```cpp
auto ctx = leaf::make_context( ①
  []( e_this ) { .... },
  []( e_that ) { .... } );
```

① `decltype(ctx)` is `leaf::context<e_this, e_that>`.

make_shared_context

#include <boost/leaf/context.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... H>
  context_ptr make_shared_context() noexcept
  {
    return std::make_shared<leaf_detail::polymorphic_context_impl
<context_type_from_handlers<H...>>>();
  }

  template <class... H>
  context_ptr make_shared_context( H && ... ) noexcept
  {
    return std::make_shared<leaf_detail::polymorphic_context_impl
<context_type_from_handlers<H...>>>();
  }

} }
```

context_type_from_handlers

💡 See also <u>Transporting Error Objects Between Threads</u> from the tutorial.

---

new_error

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... Item>
  error_id new_error(Item && ... item) noexcept;

} }
```

Requires:

Each of the `Item`… types must be no-throw movable.

Effects:

As if:

```
error_id id = <<generate-new-unique-id>>;
return id.load(std::forward<Item>(item)...);
```

Returns:

A new `error_id` value, which is unique across the entire program.

Ensures:

`id.value()!=0`, where `id` is the returned `error_id`.

> ℹ️ `new_error` discards error objects which are not used in any active error handling calling scope.

> 🔥 When loaded into a `context`, an error object of a type `E` will overwrite the previously loaded object of type `E`, if any.

---

on_error

#include <boost/leaf/on_error.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... Item>
  <<unspecified-type>> on_error(Item && ... item) noexcept;

} }
```

Requires:

Each of the `Item…` types must be no-throw movable.

Effects:

All `item…` objects are forwarded and stored, together with the value returned from `std::unhandled_exceptions`, into the returned object of unspecified type, which should be captured by `auto` and kept alive in the calling scope. When that object is destroyed, if an error has occurred since `on_error` was invoked, LEAF will process the stored items to obtain error objects to be associated with the failure.

On error, LEAF first needs to deduce an `error_id` value `err` to associate error objects with. This is done using the following logic:

- If <u>new_error</u> was invoked (by the calling thread) since the object returned by `on_error` was created, `err` is initialized with the value returned by <u>current_error</u>;

- Otherwise, if `std::unhandled_exceptions` returns a greater value than it returned during initialization, `err` is initialized with the value returned by <u>new_error</u>;

- Otherwise, the stored `item…` objects are discarded and no further action is taken (no error has occurred).

Next, LEAF proceeds similarly to:

```
err.load(std::forward<Item>(item)...);
```

The difference is that unlike <u>load</u>, `on_error` will not overwrite any error objects already

associated with `err`.

> 💡 See Using `on_error` from the Tutorial.

try_catch

#include <boost/leaf/handle_errors.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class TryBlock, class... H>
  typename std::decay<decltype(std::declval<TryBlock>()())>::type
  try_catch( TryBlock && try_block, H && ... h );

} }
```

The `try_catch` function works similarly to try_handle_some, except that it does not use or understand the semantics of `result<T>` types; instead:

- It assumes that the `try_block` throws to indicate a failure, in which case `try_catch` will attempt to find a suitable handler among h…;
- If a suitable handler isn't found, the original exception is re-thrown using `throw;`.

> 💡 See also Exception Handling from the Tutorial section.

try_handle_all

#include <boost/leaf/handle_errors.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class TryBlock, class... H>
  typename std::decay<decltype(std::declval<TryBlock>()().value())>::type
  try_handle_all( TryBlock && try_block, H && ... h );

} }
```

The `try_handle_all` function works similarly to try_handle_some, except:

- In addition, it requires that at least one of h… can be used to handle any error (this requirement is enforced at compile time);
- If the `try_block` returns some `result<T>` type, it must be possible to initialize a value of type `T` with the value returned by each of h…, and
- Because it is required to handle all errors, `try_handle_all` unwraps the `result<T>` object r

returned by the `try_block`, returning `r.value()` instead of `r`.

> 💡 See also <u>Error Handling</u> from the <u>Tutorial</u> section.

`try_handle_some`

#include <boost/leaf/handle_errors.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class TryBlock, class... H>
  typename std::decay<decltype(std::declval<TryBlock>()())>::type
  try_handle_some( TryBlock && try_block, H && ... h );

} }
```

Requires:

- The `try_block` function may not take any arguments.

- The type `R` returned by the `try_block` function must be a `result<T>` type (see <u>is_result_type</u>). It is valid for the `try_block` to return `leaf::`<u>result</u>`<T>`, however this is not a requirement.

- Each of the `h...` functions:

  - must return a type that can be used to initialize an object of the type `R`; in case R is a `result<void>` (that is, in case of success it does not communicate a value), handlers that return `void` are permitted. If such a handler is selected, the `try_handle_some` return value is initialized by `{}`;

  - may take any error objects, by value, by (`const`) reference, or as pointer (to `const`);

  - may take arguments, by value, of any predicate type: <u>catch_</u>, <u>match</u>, <u>match_value</u>, <u>match_member</u>, <u>if_not</u>, or of any user-defined predicate type `Pred` for which <u>is_predicate</u>`<Pred>::value` is `true`;

  - may take an <u>error_info</u> argument by `const &`;

  - may take a <u>diagnostic_info</u> argument by `const &`;

  - may take a <u>verbose_diagnostic_info</u> argument by `const &`.

Effects:

- Creates a local <u>context</u>`<E...>` object `ctx`, where the `E...` types are automatically deduced from the types of arguments taken by each of `h...`, which guarantees that `ctx` is able to store all of the types required to handle errors.

- Invokes the `try_block`:

  - if the returned object `r` indicates success <u>and</u> the `try_block` did not throw, `r` is forwarded to the caller.

  - otherwise, LEAF considers each of the `h...` handlers, in order, until it finds one that it can

supply with arguments using the error objects currently stored in `ctx`, associated with `r.error()`. The first such handler is invoked and its return value is used to initialize the return value of `try_handle_some`, which can indicate success if the handler was able to handle the error, or failure if it was not.

◦ if `try_handle_some` is unable to find a suitable handler, it returns `r`.

> ℹ️ `try_handle_some` is exception-neutral: it does not throw exceptions, however the `try_block` and any of `h…` are permitted to throw.

Handler Selection Procedure:

A handler `h` is suitable to handle the failure reported by `r` iff `try_handle_some` is able to produce values to pass as its arguments, using the error objects currently available in `ctx`, associated with the error ID obtained by calling `r.error()`. As soon as it is determined that an argument value can not be produced, the current handler is dropped and the selection process continues with the next handler, if any.

The return value of `r.error()` must be implicitly convertible to <u>error_id</u>. Naturally, the `leaf::result` template satisfies this requirement. If an external `result` type is used instead, usually `r.error()` would return a `std::error_code`, which is able to communicate LEAF error IDs; see <u>Interoperability</u>.

If `err` is the `error_id` obtained from `r.error()`, each argument a_i taken by the handler currently under consideration is produced as follows:

- If a_i is of type A_i, `A`$_i$ `const&` or `A`$_i$`&`:

    ◦ If an error object of type A_i, associated with `err`, is currently available in `ctx`, a_i is initialized with a reference to that object; otherwise

    ◦ If A_i derives from `std::exception`, and the `try_block` throws an object `ex` of type that derives from `std::exception`, LEAF obtains `A`$_i$`* p = dynamic_cast<A`$_i$`*>(&ex)`. The handler is dropped if `p` is null, otherwise a_i is initialized with `*p`.

    ◦ Otherwise the handler is dropped.

Example:

```
....
auto r = leaf::try_handle_some(

  []() -> leaf::result<int>
  {
    return f();
  },

  [](leaf::e_file_name const & fn) ①
  {
    std::cerr << "File Name: \"" << fn.value << '"' << std::endl; ②

    return 1;
  } );
```

result | e_file_name

① In case the `try_block` indicates a failure, this handler will be selected if `ctx` stores an `e_file_name` associated with the error. Because this is the only supplied handler, if an `e_file_name` is not available, `try_handle_some` will return the `leaf::result<int>` returned by `f`.

② Print the file name, handle the error.

- If a_i is of type A_i `const*` or A_i`*`, `try_handle_some` is always able to produce it: first it attempts to produce it as if it is taken by reference; if that fails, rather than dropping the handler, a_i is initialized with `0`.

Example:

```
....
try_handle_some(

  []() -> leaf::result<int>
  {
    return f();
  },

  [](leaf::e_file_name const * fn) ①
  {
    if( fn ) ②
      std::cerr << "File Name: \"" << fn->value << '"' << std::endl;

    return 1;
  } );
}
```

result | e_file_name

① This handler can be selected to handle any error, because it takes `e_file_name` as a const `*` (and nothing else).

② If an `e_file_name` is available with the current error, print it.

- If `a`$_i$ is of a predicate type `Pred` (for which <u>`is_predicate`</u>`<Pred>::value` is `true`), `E` is deduced as `typename Pred::error_type`, and then:

  ◦ If `E` is not `void`, and an error object `e` of type `E`, associated with `err`, is not currently stored in `ctx`, the handler is dropped; otherwise the handler is dropped if the expression `Pred::evaluate(e)` returns `false`.

  ◦ if `E` is `void`, and a `std::exception` was not caught, the handler is dropped; otherwise the handler is dropped if the expression `Pred::evaluate(e)`, where `e` is of type `std::exception const &`, returns `false`.

  ◦ To invoke the handler, the `Pred` argument `a`$_i$ is initialized with `Pred{e}`.

> 🛈   See also: <u>Predicates</u>.

- If `a`$_i$ is of type `error_info const &`, `try_handle_some` is always able to produce it.

Example:

```
....
try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  [](leaf::error_info const & info) ①
  {
    std::cerr << "leaf::error_info:" << std::endl << info; ②
    return info.error(); ③
  } );
```

<div align="right"><u>result</u> | <u>error_info</u></div>

① This handler matches any error.

② Print error information.

③ Return the original error, which will be returned out of `try_handle_some`.

- If `a`$_i$ is of type `diagnostic_info const &`, `try_handle_some` is always able to produce it.

Example:

```
....
try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // throws
  },

  [](leaf::diagnostic_info const & info) ①
  {
    std::cerr << "leaf::diagnostic_information:" << std::endl << info; ②
    return info.error(); ③
  } );
```

① This handler matches any error.

② Print diagnostic information, including limited information about dropped error objects.

③ Return the original error, which will be returned out of `try_handle_some`.

- If a_i is of type `verbose_diagnostic_info const &`, `try_handle_some` is always able to produce it.

Example:

```
....
try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // throws
  },

  [](leaf::verbose_diagnostic_info const & info) ①
  {
    std::cerr << "leaf::verbose_diagnostic_information:" << std::endl << info;
②
    return info.error(); ③
  } );
```

① This handler matches any error.

② Print verbose diagnostic information, including values of dropped error objects.

③ Return the original error, which will be returned out of `try_handle_some`.

Reference: Types

---

context

#include <boost/leaf/context.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... E>
  class context
  {
    context( context const & ) = delete;
    context & operator=( context const & ) = delete;

  public:

    context() noexcept;
    context( context && x ) noexcept;
    ~context() noexcept;

    void activate() noexcept;
    void deactivate() noexcept;
    bool is_active() const noexcept;

    void propagate() noexcept;

    void print( std::ostream & os ) const;

    template <class R, class... H>
    R handle_error( error_id, H && ... ) const;

  };

  template <class... H>
  using context_type_from_handlers = typename <<unspecified>>::type;

} }
```

Constructors | activate | deactivate | is_active | propagate | print | handle_error | context_type_from_handlers

The `context` class template provides storage for each of the specified `E...` types. Typically, `context` objects are not used directly; they're created internally when the `try_handle_some`, `try_handle_all` or `try_catch` functions are invoked, instantiated with types that are automatically deduced from the types of the arguments of the passed handlers.

Independently, users can create `context` objects if they need to capture error objects and then transport them, by moving the `context` object itself.

Even in that case it is recommended that users do not instantiate the `context` template by explicitly listing the `E...` types they want it to be able to store. Instead, use `context_type_from_handlers` or call the `make_context` function template, which deduce the correct `E...` types from a captured list of handler function objects.

To be able to load up error objects in a `context` object, it must be activated. Activating a `context` object `ctx` binds it to the calling thread, setting thread-local pointers of the stored `E...` types to point to the corresponding storage within `ctx`. It is possible, even likely, to have more than one active `context` in any given thread. In this case, activation/deactivation must happen in a LIFO manner. For this reason, it is best to use a `context_activator`, which relies on RAII to activate and deactivate a `context`.

When a `context` is deactivated, it detaches from the calling thread, restoring the thread-local pointers to their pre-`activate` values. Typically, at this point the stored error objects, if any, are either discarded (by default) or moved to corresponding storage in other `context` objects active in the calling thread (if available), by calling `propagate`.

While error handling typically uses `try_handle_some`, `try_handle_all` or `try_catch`, it is also possible to handle errors by calling the member function `handle_error`. It takes an `error_id`, and attempts to select an error handler based on the error objects stored in `*this`, associated with the passed `error_id`.

> 💡 `context` objects can be moved, as long as they aren't active.

> ⚠️ Moving an active `context` results in undefined behavior.

Constructors

#include <boost/leaf/context.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... E>
  context<E...>::context() noexcept;

  template <class... E>
  context<E...>::context( context && x ) noexcept;

} }
```

The default constructor initializes an empty `context` object: it provides storage for, but does not contain any error objects.

The move constructor moves the stored error objects from one `context` to the other.

⚠️ Moving an active `context` object results in undefined behavior.

activate

#include <boost/leaf/context.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... E>
  void context<E...>::activate() noexcept;

} }
```

Requires:

    `!is_active()`.

Effects:

    Associates `*this` with the calling thread.

Ensures:

    `is_active()`.

When a context is associated with a thread, thread-local pointers are set to point each `E…` type in its store, while the previous value of each such pointer is preserved in the `context` object, so that the effect of `activate` can be undone by calling `deactivate`.

When an error object is <u>loaded</u>, it is moved in the last activated (in the calling thread) `context` object that provides storage for its type (note that this may or may not be the last activated `context` object). If no such storage is available, the error object is discarded.

---

deactivate

#include <boost/leaf/context.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... E>
  void context<E...>::deactivate() noexcept;

} }
```

Requires:

- `is_active()`;
- `*this` must be the last activated `context` object in the calling thread.

Effects:

Un-associates `*this` with the calling thread.

Ensures:

`!is_active()`.

When a context is deactivated, the thread-local pointers that currently point to each individual error object storage in it are restored to their original value prior to calling `activate`.

---

handle_error

#include <boost/leaf/handle_errors.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... E>
  template <class R, class... H>
  R context<E...>::handle_error( error_id err, H && ... h ) const;

} }
```

This function works similarly to `try_handle_all`, but rather than calling a `try_block` and obtaining the `error_id` from a returned `result` type, it matches error objects (stored in `*this`, associated with `err`) with a suitable error handler from the h… pack.

> **ℹ** The caller is required to specify the return type `R`. This is because in general the supplied handlers may return different types (which must all be convertible to `R`).

---

is_active

#include <boost/leaf/context.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... E>
  bool context<E...>::is_active() const noexcept;

} }
```

Returns:

`true` if the `*this` is active in any thread, `false` otherwise.

print

#include <boost/leaf/context.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... E>
  void context<E...>::print( std::ostream & os ) const;

} }
```

Effects:

Prints all error objects currently stored in `*this`, together with the unique error ID each individual error object is associated with.

---

propagate

#include <boost/leaf/context.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... E>
  void context<E...>::propagate() noexcept;

} }
```

Requires:

`!is_active()`.

Effects:

Each stored error object of some type `E` is moved into another `context` object active in the call stack that provides storage for objects of type `E`, if any, or discarded.

---

context_activator

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class Ctx>
  class context_activator
  {
    context_activator( context_activator const & ) = delete;
    context_activator & operator=( context_activator const & ) = delete;

  public:

    explicit context_activator( Ctx & ctx ) noexcept;
    context_activator( context_activator && ) noexcept;
    ~context_activator() noexcept;
  };

} }
```

context_activator is a simple class that activates and deactivates a <u>context</u> using RAII:

If <u>ctx.is active</u>() is true at the time the context_activator is initialized, the constructor and the destructor have no effects. Otherwise:

- The constructor stores a reference to ctx in *this and calls <u>ctx.activate</u>().
- The destructor:
  - Has no effects if ctx.is_active() is false (that is, it is valid to call <u>deactivate</u> manually, before the context_activator object expires);
  - Otherwise, calls <u>ctx.deactivate</u>() and, if there are new uncaught exceptions since the constructor was called, the destructor calls <u>ctx.propagate</u>().

For automatic deduction of Ctx, use <u>activate_context</u>.

---

diagnostic_info

#include <boost/leaf/handle_errors.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  class diagnostic_info: public error_info
  {
    //Constructors unspecified

    friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream & os, diagnostic_info const & x );
  };

} }
```

Handlers passed to <u>try_handle_some</u>, <u>try_handle_all</u> or <u>try_catch</u> may take an argument of type `diagnostic_info const &` if they need to print diagnostic information about the error.

The message printed by `operator<<` includes the message printed by `error_info`, followed by basic information about error objects that were communicated to LEAF (to be associated with the error) for which there was no storage available in any active <u>context</u> (these error objects were discarded by LEAF, because no handler needed them).

The additional information is limited to the type name of the first such error object, as well as their total count.

The behavior of `diagnostic_info` (and <u>verbose_diagnostic_info</u>) is affected by the value of the macro `BOOST_LEAF_DIAGNOSTICS`:

- If it is 1 (the default), LEAF produces `diagnostic_info` but only if an active error handling context on the call stack takes an argument of type `diagnostic_info`;

- If it is 0, the `diagnostic_info` functionality is stubbed out even for error handling contexts that take an argument of type `diagnostic_info`. This could shave a few cycles off the error path in some programs (but it is probably not worth it).

error_id

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  class error_id
  {
  public:

    error_id() noexcept;

    template <class Enum>
    result( Enum e, typename std::enable_if<std::is_error_code_enum<Enum>::value,
Enum>::type * = 0 ) noexcept;

    error_id( std::error_code const & ec ) noexcept;

    int value() const noexcept;
    explicit operator bool() const noexcept;

    std::error_code to_error_code() const noexcept;

    friend bool operator==( error_id a, error_id b ) noexcept;
    friend bool operator!=( error_id a, error_id b ) noexcept;
    friend bool operator<( error_id a, error_id b ) noexcept;

    template <class... Item>
    error_id load( Item && ... item ) const noexcept;

    friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream & os, error_id x );
  };

  bool is_error_id( std::error_code const & ec ) noexcept;

  template <class... E>
  error_id new_error( E && ... e ) noexcept;

  error_id current_error() noexcept;

} }
```

Constructors | value | operator bool | to_error_code | operator==, !=, < | load |
is_error_id | new_error | current_error

Values of type `error_id` identify a specific occurrence of a failure across the entire program. They can be copied, moved, assigned to, and compared to other `error_id` objects. They're as efficient as an `int`.

Constructors

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  error_id::error_id() noexcept = default;

  template <class Enum>
  error_id::error_id( Enum e, typename std::enable_if<std::is_error_code_enum<Enum
>::value, Enum>::type * = 0 ) noexcept;

  error_id::error_id( std::error_code const & ec ) noexcept;

} }
```

A default-initialized `error_id` object does not represent a specific failure. It compares equal to any other default-initialized `error_id` object. All other `error_id` objects identify a specific occurrence of a failure.

When using an object of type `error_id` to initialize a `result<T>` object, it will be initialized in error state, even when passing a default-initialized `error_id` value.

Converting an `error_id` object to `std::error_code` uses an unspecified `std::error_category` which LEAF recognizes. This allows an `error_id` to be transported through interfaces that work with `std::error_code`. The `std::error_code` constructor allows the original `error_id` to be restored.

To check if a given `std::error_code` is actually carrying an `error_id`, use `is_error_id`.

Typically, users create new `error_id` objects by invoking `new_error`. The constructor that takes `std::error_code`, and the one that takes a type `Enum` for which `std::is_error_code_enum<Enum>::value` is `true`, have the following effects:

- If `ec.value()` is 0, the effect is the same as using the default constructor.

- Otherwise, if `is_error_id(ec)` is `true`, the original `error_id` value is used to initialize `*this`;

- Otherwise, `*this` is initialized by the value returned by `new_error`, while `ec` is passed to `load`, which enables handlers used with `try_handle_some`, `try_handle_all` or `try_catch` to receive it as an argument of type `std::error_code`.

---

is_error_id

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  bool is_error_id( std::error_code const & ec ) noexcept;

} }
```

Returns:

true if ec uses the LEAF-specific std::error_category that identifies it as carrying an error ID rather than another error code; otherwise returns false.

---

load

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... Item>
  error_id error_id::load( Item && ... item ) const noexcept;

} }
```

Requires:

Each of the Item... types must be no-throw movable.

Effects:

- If value()==0, all of item... are discarded and no further action is taken.

- Otherwise, what happens with each item depends on its type:

  ◦ If it is a function that takes a single argument of some type E &, that function is called with the object of type E currently associated with *this. If no such object exists, a default-initialized object is associated with *this and then passed to the function.

  ◦ If it is a function that takes no arguments, than function is called to obtain an error object, which is associated with *this.

  ◦ Otherwise, the item itself is assumed to be an error object, which is associated with *this.

Returns:

*this.

> ℹ️ load discards error objects which are not used in any active error handling calling scope.

> ![] When loaded into a `context`, an error object of a type `E` will overwrite the previously loaded object of type `E`, if any.

See also:

> [Loading of Error Objects](#).

---

operator==, !=, <

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  friend bool operator==( error_id a, error_id b ) noexcept;
  friend bool operator!=( error_id a, error_id b ) noexcept;
  friend bool operator<( error_id a, error_id b ) noexcept;

} }
```

These functions have the usual semantics, comparing `a.value()` and `b.value()`.

> ![] The exact strict weak ordering implemented by `operator<` is not specified. In particular, if for two `error_id` objects a and b, `a < b` is true, it does not follow that the failure identified by `a` ocurred earlier than the one identified by `b`.

---

operator bool

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

    explicit error_id::operator bool() const noexcept;

} }
```

Effects:

> As if `return value()!=0`.

---

to_error_code

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

    std::error_code error_id::to_error_code() const noexcept;

} }
```

Effects:

Returns a `std::error_code` with the same `value()` as `*this`, using an unspecified `std::error_category`.

The returned object can be used to initialize an `error_id`, in which case the original `error_id` value will be restored.

Use `is_error_id` to check if a given `std::error_code` carries an `error_id`.

value

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

    int error_id::value() const noexcept;

} }
```

Effects:

- If `*this` was initialized using the default constructor, returns 0.

- Otherwise returns an `int` that is guaranteed to not be 0: a program-wide unique identifier of the failure.

error_monitor

#include <boost/leaf/on_error.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  class error_monitor
  {
  public:

    error_monitor() noexcept;

    error_id check() const noexcept;

    error_id assigned_error_id( E && ... e ) const noexcept;
  };

} }
```

This class helps obtain an <u>error_id</u> to associate error objects with, when augmenting failures communicated using LEAF through uncooperative APIs that do not use LEAF to report errors (and therefore do not return an `error_id` on error).

The common usage of this class is as follows:

```cpp
error_code compute_value( int * out_value ) noexcept; ①

leaf::error<int> augmenter() noexcept
{
  leaf::error_monitor cur_err; ②

  int val;
  auto ec = compute_value(&val);

  if( failure(ec) )
    return cur_err.assigned_error_id().load(e1, e2, ...); ③
  else
    return val; ④
}
```

① Uncooperative third-party API that does not use LEAF, but may result in calling a user callback that does use LEAF. In case our callback reports a failure, we'll augment it with error objects available in the calling scope, even though `compute_value` can not communicate an <u>error_id</u>.

② Initialize an `error_monitor` object.

③ The call to `compute_value` has failed:

- If <u>new_error</u> was invoked (by the calling thread) after the `augment` object was initialized, `assigned_error_id` returns the last `error_id` returned by `new_error`. This would be the case if the failure originates in our callback (invoked internally by `compute_value`).

- Else, `assigned_error_id` invokes `new_error` and returns that `error_id`.

④ The call was successful, return the computed value.

The `check` function works similarly, but instead of invoking `new_error` it returns a default-initialized `error_id`.

> 💡 See Using `error_monitor` to Report Arbitrary Errors from C-callbacks.

e_api_function

#include <boost/leaf/common.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  struct e_api_function {char const * value;};

} }
```

The `e_api_function` type is designed to capture the name of the API function that failed. For example, if you're reporting an error from `fread`, you could use `leaf::e_api_function {"fread"}`.

> ⚠️ The passed value is stored as a C string (`char const *`), so `value` should only be initialized with a string literal.

e_at_line

#include <boost/leaf/common.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  struct e_at_line { int value; };

} }
```

`e_at_line` can be used to communicate the line number when reporting errors (for example parse errors) about a text file.

e_errno

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  struct e_errno
  {
    int value;
    friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream & os, e_errno const & err );
  };

} }
```

To capture `errno`, use `e_errno`. When printed in automatically-generated diagnostic messages, `e_errno` objects use `strerror` to convert the `errno` code to string.

e_file_name

#include <boost/leaf/common.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  struct e_file_name { std::string value; };

} }
```

When a file operation fails, you could use `e_file_name` to store the name of the file.

> 💡 It is probably better to define your own file name wrappers to avoid clashes if different modules all use `leaf::e_file_name`. It is best to use a descriptive name that clarifies what kind of file name it is (e.g. `e_source_file_name`, `e_destination_file_name`), or at least define `e_file_name` in a given module's namespace.

e_LastError

#include <boost/leaf/common.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  namespace windows
  {
    struct e_LastError
    {
      unsigned value;
      friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream & os, e_LastError const & err );
    };
  }

} }
```

`e_LastError` is designed to communicate `GetLastError()` values on Windows.

e_source_location

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  struct e_source_location
  {
    char const * file;
    int line;
    char const * function;

    friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream & os, e_source_location const & x
);
  };

} }
```

The BOOST_LEAF_NEW_ERROR, BOOST_LEAF_EXCEPTION and BOOST_LEAF_THROW_EXCEPTION
macros capture `__FILE__`, `__LINE__` and `__FUNCTION__` into a `e_source_location` object.

e_type_info_name

#include <boost/leaf/common.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  struct e_type_info_name { char const * value; };

} }
```

`e_type_info_name` is designed to store the return value of `std::type_info::name`.

---

error_info

#include <boost/leaf/handle_errors.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  class error_info
  {
    //Constructors unspecified

  public:

    error_id error() const noexcept;

    bool exception_caught() const noexcept;
    std::exception const * exception() const noexcept;

    friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream & os, error_info const & x );
  };

} }
```

Handlers passed to error handling functions such as <u>try_handle_some</u>, <u>try_handle_all</u> or <u>try_catch</u> may take an argument of type `error_info const &` to receive generic information about the error being handled.

The `error` member function returns the program-wide unique <u>error_id</u> of the error.

The `exception_caught` member function returns `true` if the handler that received `*this` is being invoked to handle an exception, `false` otherwise.

If handling an exception, the `exception` member function returns a pointer to the `std::exception` subobject of the caught exception, or `0` if that exception could not be converted to `std::exception`.

> ⚠️ It is illegal to call the `exception` member function unless `exception_caught()` is `true`.

The `operator<<` overload prints diagnostic information about each error object currently stored in the _context_ local to the _try_handle_some_, _try_handle_all_ or _try_catch_ scope that invoked the handler, but only if it is associated with the _error_id_ returned by `error()`.

polymorphic_context

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  class polymorphic_context
  {
  protected:

    polymorphic_context() noexcept;
    ~polymorphic_context() noexcept;

  public:

    virtual void activate() noexcept = 0;
    virtual void deactivate() noexcept = 0;
    virtual bool is_active() const noexcept = 0;

    virtual void propagate() noexcept = 0;

    virtual void print( std::ostream & ) const = 0;
  };

} }
```

The `polymorphic_context` class is an abstract base type which can be used to erase the type of the exact instantiation of the _context_ class template used. See _make_shared_context_.

result

#include <boost/leaf/result.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class T>
  class result
  {
  public:

    result() noexcept;
    result( T && v ) noexcept;
    result( T const & v );
```

```cpp
    template <class U>
    result( U &&, <<enabled_if_T_can_be_inited_with_U>> );

    result( error_id err ) noexcept;
    result( std::shared_ptr<polymorphic_context> && ctx ) noexcept;

    template <class Enum>
    result( Enum e, typename std::enable_if<std::is_error_code_enum<Enum>::value,
Enum>::type * = 0 ) noexcept;

    result( std::error_code const & ec ) noexcept;

    result( result && r ) noexcept;

    template <class U>
    result( result<U> && r ) noexcept;

    result & operator=( result && r ) noexcept;

    template <class U>
    result & operator=( result<U> && r ) noexcept;

    bool has_value() const noexcept;
    bool has_error() const noexcept;
    explicit operator bool() const noexcept;

    T const & value() const;
    T & value();

    T const * operator->() const noexcept;
    T * operator->() noexcept;

    T const & operator*() const noexcept;
    T & operator*() noexcept;

    <<unspecified-type>> error() noexcept;

    template <class... Item>
    error_id load( Item && ... item ) noexcept;
};

template <>
class result<void>
{
public:

    result() noexcept;

    result( error_id err ) noexcept;
    result( std::shared_ptr<polymorphic_context> && ctx ) noexcept;
```

```cpp
    template <class Enum>
    result( Enum e, typename std::enable_if<std::is_error_code_enum<Enum>::value,
Enum>::type * = 0 ) noexcept;

    result( std::error_code const & ec ) noexcept;

    result( result && r ) noexcept;

    template <class U>
    result( result<U> && r ) noexcept;

    result & operator=( result && r ) noexcept;

    template <class U>
    result & operator=( result<U> && r ) noexcept;

    bool has_value() const noexcept;
    bool has_error() const noexcept;
    explicit operator bool() const noexcept;

    void value() const;

    <<unspecified-type>> error() noexcept;

    template <class... Item>
    error_id load( Item && ... item ) noexcept;
  };

  struct bad_result: std::exception { };

} }
```

Constructors | operator= | has_value | has_error | operator bool | operator -> |
operator * | value` | error | load

The `result<T>` type can be returned by functions which produce a value of type `T` but may fail doing so.

Requires:

T must be movable, and its move constructor may not throw.

Invariant:

A `result<T>` object is in one of three states:

- Value state, in which case it contains an object of type `T`, and value/operator*/operator-> can be used to access the contained value.

- Error state, in which case it contains an error ID, and calling value/operator*/operator-> throws `leaf::bad_result`.

- Error capture state, which is the same as the Error state, but in addition to the error ID, it holds a `std::shared_ptr<polymorphic_context>`.

`result<T>` objects are nothrow-moveable but are not copyable.

Constructors

#include <boost/leaf/result.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class T>
  result<T>::result() noexcept;

  template <class T>
  result<T>::result( T && v ) noexcept; ①

  template <class T>
  result<T>::result( T const & v ); ①

  template <class U>
  result<T>::result( U && u, <<enabled_if_T_can_be_inited_with_U>> ); ②

  template <class T>
  result<T>::result( leaf::error_id err ) noexcept;

  template <class T>
  template <class Enum>
  result<T>::result( Enum e, typename std::enable_if<std::is_error_code_enum<Enum
>::value, Enum>::type * = 0 ) noexcept;

  template <class T>
  result<T>::result( std::error_code const & ec ) noexcept;

  template <class T>
  result<T>::result( std::shared_ptr<polymorphic_context> && ctx ) noexcept;

  template <class T>
  result<T>::result( result && ) noexcept;

  template <class T>
  template <class U>
  result<T>::result( result<U> && ) noexcept;

} }
```

① Not available if `T` is `void`.

② Available if an object of type `T` can be initialized with `std::forward<U>(u)`. This is to enable

e.g. `result<std::string>` to be initialized with a string literal.

Requires:

`T` must be movable, and its move constructor may not throw; or `void`.

Effects:

Establishes the `result<T>` invariant:

- To get a `result<T>` in <u>Value state</u>, initialize it with an object of type `T` or use the default constructor.

- To get a `result<T>` in <u>Error state</u>, initialize it with:

  ◦ an <u>error_id</u> object.

    🔥 Initializing a `result<T>` with a default-initialized `error_id` object (for which `.value()` returns `0`) will still result in <u>Error state</u>!

  ◦ a `std::error_code` object.

  ◦ an object of type `Enum` for which `std::is_error_code_enum<Enum>::value` is `true`.

- To get a `result<T>` in <u>Error capture state</u>, initialize it with a `std::shared_ptr<`<u>polymorphic_context</u>`>` (which can be obtained by calling e.g. <u>make_shared_context</u>).

When a `result` object is initialized with a `std::error_code` object, it is used to initialize an `error_id` object, then the behavior is the same as if initialized with `error_id`.

Throws:

- Initializing the `result<T>` in Value state may throw, depending on which constructor of `T` is invoked;

- Other constructors do not throw.

> 💡 A `result` that is in value state converts to `true` in boolean contexts. A `result` that is not in value state converts to `false` in boolean contexts.

> ℹ️ `result<T>` objects are nothrow-moveable but are not copyable.

---

error

#include <boost/leaf/result.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... E>
  <<unspecified-type>> result<T>::error() noexcept;

} }
```

Returns: A proxy object of unspecified type, implicitly convertible to any instance of the `result` class template, as well as to `error_id`.

- If the proxy object is converted to some `result<U>`:

  - If `*this` is in <u>Value state</u>, returns `result<U>(error_id())`.

  - Otherwise the state of `*this` is moved into the returned `result<U>`.

- If the proxy object is converted to an `error_id`:

  - If `*this` is in <u>Value state</u>, returns a default-initialized <u>error_id</u> object.

  - If `*this` is in <u>Error capture state</u>, all captured error objects are <u>loaded</u> in the calling thread, and the captured `error_id` value is returned.

  - If `*this` is in <u>Error state</u>, returns the stored `error_id`.

- If the proxy object is not used, the state of `*this` is not modified.

> ⚠️ The returned proxy object refers to `*this`; avoid holding on to it.

---

load

#include <boost/leaf/result.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class T>
  template <class... Item>
  error_id result<T>::load( Item && ... item ) noexcept;

} }
```

This member function is designed for use in `return` statements in functions that return `result<T>` to forward additional error objects to the caller.

Effects:
  As if `error_id(this->error()).load(std::forward<Item>(item)…)`.

Returns:
  `*this`.

---

operator=

#include <boost/leaf/result.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

    template <class T>
    result<T> & result<T>::operator=( result && ) noexcept;

    template <class T>
    template <class U>
    result<T> & result<T>::operator=( result<U> && ) noexcept;

} }
```

Effects:

Destroys `*this`, then re-initializes it as if using the appropriate `result<T>` constructor. Basic exception-safety guarantee.

has_value

#include <boost/leaf/result.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

    template <class T>
    bool result<T>::has_value() const noexcept;

} }
```

Returns:

If `*this` is in <u>value state</u>, returns `true`, otherwise returns `false`.

has_error

#include <boost/leaf/result.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

    template <class T>
    bool result<T>::has_error() const noexcept;

} }
```

Returns:

If `*this` is in <u>value state</u>, returns `false`, otherwise returns `true`.

operator bool

#include <boost/leaf/result.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class T>
  result<T>::operator bool() const noexcept;

} }
```

Returns:

 If `*this` is in <u>value state</u>, returns `true`, otherwise returns `false`.

---

operator ->

#include <boost/leaf/result.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class T>
  T const * result<T>::operator->() const noexcept;

  template <class T>
  T * result<T>::operator->() noexcept;

} }
```

Returns

 If `*this` is in <u>value state</u>, returns a pointer to the stored value; otherwise returns 0.

---

operator *

#include <boost/leaf/result.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class T>
  T const & result<T>::operator*() const noexcept;

  template <class T>
  T & result<T>::operator*() noexcept;

} }
```

Requires:

> `*this` must be in <u>value state</u>.

Returns

> a reference to the stored value.

---

value`

#include <boost/leaf/result.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  void result<void>::value() const;

  template <class T>
  T const & result<T>::value() const;

  template <class T>
  T & result<T>::value();

  struct bad_result: std::exception { };

} }
```

Effects:

> If `*this` is in <u>value state</u>, returns a reference to the stored value, otherwise throws `bad_result`.

---

verbose_diagnostic_info

#include <boost/leaf/handle_errors.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  class verbose_diagnostic_info: public error_info
  {
    //Constructors unspecified

    friend std::ostream & operator<<( std::ostream & os, verbose_diagnostic_info const
& x );
  };

} }
```

Handlers passed to error handling functions such as <u>try_handle_some</u>, <u>try_handle_all</u> or <u>try_catch</u> may take an argument of type `verbose_diagnostic_info const &` if they need to print diagnostic information about the error.

The message printed by `operator<<` includes the message printed by `error_info`, followed by information about error objects that were communicated to LEAF (to be associated with the error) for which there was no storage available in any active <u>context</u> (these error objects were discarded by LEAF, because no handler needed them).

The additional information includes the types and the values of all such error objects.

The behavior of `verbose_diagnostic_info` (and <u>diagnostic_info</u>) is affected by the value of the macro `BOOST_LEAF_DIAGNOSTICS`:

- If it is 1 (the default), LEAF produces `verbose_diagnostic_info` but only if an active error handling context on the call stack takes an argument of type `verbose_diagnostic_info`;

- If it is 0, the `verbose_diagnostic_info` functionality is stubbed out even for error handling contexts that take an argument of type `verbose_diagnostic_info`. This could save some cycles on the error path in some programs (but is probably not worth it).

Using `verbose_diagnostic_info` will likely allocate memory dynamically.

Reference: Predicates

💡 The contents of each Reference section are organized alphabetically.

A predicate is a special type of error handler argument which enables the <u>handler selection procedure</u> to consider the *value* of available error objects, not only their type; see <u>Using Predicates to Handle Errors</u>.

The following predicates are available:

- <u>`match`</u>

- <u>`match_value`</u>

- <u>`match_member`</u>

- <u>`catch_`</u>

- <u>`if_not`</u>

In addition, any user-defined type `Pred` for which <u>`is_predicate`</u>`<Pred>::value` is `true` is treated as a predicate. In this case, it is required that:

- `Pred` defines an accessible member type `error_type` to specify the error object type it requires;

- `Pred` defines an accessible static member function `evaluate`, which returns a boolean type, and can be invoked with an object of type `error_type const &`;

- A `Pred` instance can be initialized with an object of type `error_type`.

When an error handler takes an argument of a predicate type `Pred`, the <u>handler selection procedure</u> drops the handler if an error object `e` of type `Pred::error_type` is not available. Otherwise, the handler is dropped if `Pred::evaluate(e)` returns `false`. If the handler is invoked, the `Pred` argument is initialized with `Pred{e}`.

ℹ️ Predicates are evaluated before the error handler is invoked, and so they may not access dynamic state (of course the error handler itself can access dynamic state, e.g. by means of lambda expression captures).

Example 1:

```cpp
enum class my_error { e1 = 1, e2, e3 };

struct my_pred
{
  using error_type = my_error; ①

  static bool evaluate(my_error) noexcept; ②

  my_error matched; ③
}

namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <>
  struct is_predicate<my_pred>: std::true_type
  {
  };

} }
```

① This predicate requires an error object of type `my_error`.

② The handler selection procedure will call this function with an object `e` of type `my_error` to evaluate the predicate…

③ …and if successful, initialize the `my_pred` error handler argument with `my_pred{e}`.

Example 2:

```cpp
struct my_pred
{
  using error_type = leaf::e_errno; ①

  static bool evaluate(leaf::e_errno const &) noexcept; ②

  leaf::e_errno const & matched; ③
}

namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <>
  struct is_predicate<my_pred>: std::true_type
  {
  };

} }
```

① This predicate requires an error object of type `e_errno`.

② The handler selection procedure will call this function with an object `e` of type `e_errno` to

evaluate the predicate...

③ ...and if successful, initialize the `my_pred` error handler argument with `my_pred{e}`.

catch_

#include <boost/leaf/pred.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class... Ex>
  struct catch_
  {
    std::exception const & matched;

    // Other members not specified
  };

  template <class Ex>
  struct catch_<Ex>
  {
    Ex const & matched;

    // Other members not specified
  };

  template <class... Ex>
  struct is_predicate<catch_<Ex...>>: std::true_type
  {
  };

} }
```

is_predicate

When an error handler takes an argument of type that is an instance of the `catch_` template, the handler selection procedure first checks if a `std::exception` was caught. If not, the handler is dropped. Otherwise, the handler is dropped if the caught `std::exception` can not be `dynamic_cast` to any of the specified types `Ex...`.

If the error handler is invoked, the `matched` member can be used to access the exception object.

> ℹ See also: Using Predicates to Handle Errors.

> 💡 While `catch_` requires that the caught exception object is of type that derives from `std::exception`, it is not required that the `Ex…` types derive from `std::exception`.

Example 1:

```
struct ex1: std::exception { };
struct ex2: std::exception { };

leaf::try_catch(

  []
  {
    return f(); // throws
  },

  [](leaf::catch_<ex1, ex2> c)
  { ①
    assert(dynamic_cast<ex1 const *>(&c.matched) || dynamic_cast<ex2 const *>(&c
.matched));
    ....
  } );
```

① The handler is selected if `f` throws an exception of type `ex1` or `ex2`.

Example 2:

```
struct ex1: std::exception { };

leaf::try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  [](ex1 & e)
  { ①
    ....
  } );
```

① The handler is selected if `f` throws an exception of type `ex1`. Notice that if we're interested in only one exception type, as long as that type derives from `std::exception`, the use of `catch_` is not required.

if_not

#include <boost/leaf/pred.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class P>
  struct if_not
  {
    <<deduced>> matched;

    // Other members not specified
  };

  template <class P>
  struct is_predicate<if_not<P>>: std::true_type
  {
  };

} }
```

<div align="right">

`is_predicate`

</div>

When an error handler takes an argument of type `if_not<P>`, where `P` is another predicate type, the <u>handler selection procedure</u> first checks if an error object of the type `E` required by `P` is available. If not, the handler is dropped. Otherwise, the handler is dropped if `P` evaluates to `true`.

If the error handler is invoked, `matched` can be used to access the matched object `E`.

> 🛈   See also <u>Using Predicates to Handle Errors</u>.

Example:

```cpp
enum class my_enum { e1, e2, e3 };

leaf::try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  []( leaf::if_not<leaf::match<my_enum, my_enum::e1, my_enum::e2>> )
  { ①

    ....
  } );
```

<div align="right">

`try_handle_some` | `match`

</div>

① The handler is selected if an object of type `my_enum`, which **<u>does not</u>** compare equal to `e1` or to `e2`, **<u>is</u>** associated with the detected error.

match

#include <boost/leaf/pred.hpp>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class E, auto... V>
  class match
  {
    <<deduced>> matched;

    // Other members not specified
  };

  template <class E, auto... V>
  struct is_predicate<match<E, V...>>: std::true_type
  {
  };

} }
```

is_predicate

When an error handler takes an argument of type `match<E, V…>`, the <u>handler selection procedure</u> first checks if an error object `e` of type `E` is available. If it is not available, the handler is dropped. Otherwise, the handler is dropped if the following condition is not met:

$$p_1 \;||\; p_2 \;||\; … \; p_n.$$

Generally, p_i is equivalent to `e == V`$_i$, except if V_i is pointer to a function

$$\texttt{bool (*V}_i\texttt{)(T x).}$$

In this case it is required that V_i `!= 0` and that `x` can be initialized with `E const &`, and p_i is equivalent to:

$$V_i(e).$$

In particular, it is valid to pass pointer to the function `leaf::category<Enum>` for any V_i, where:

$$\texttt{std::is\_error\_code\_enum<Enum>::value ||}$$
$$\texttt{std::is\_error\_condition\_enum<Enum>::value.}$$

In this case, p_i is equivalent to:

$$\texttt{\&e.category() == \&std::error\_code(Enum\{\}).category().}$$

If the error handler is invoked, `matched` can be used to access `e`.

> ℹ️ See also <u>Using Predicates to Handle Errors</u>.

Example 1: Handling of a subset of enum values.

```
enum class my_enum { e1, e2, e3 };

leaf::try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  []( leaf::match<my_enum, my_enum::e1, my_enum::e2> m )
  { ①
    static_assert(std::is_same<my_enum, decltype(m.matched)>::value);
    assert(m.matched == my_enum::e1 || m.matched == my_enum::e2);

    ....
  } );
```

① The handler is selected if an object of type `my_enum`, which compares equal to `e1` or to `e2`, is associated with the detected error.

Example 2: Handling of a subset of std::error_code enum values (requires at least C++17, see Example 4 for a C++11-compatible workaround).

```
enum class my_enum { e1=1, e2, e3 };

namespace std
{
  template <> struct is_error_code_enum<my_enum>: std::true_type { };
}

leaf::try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  []( leaf::match<std::error_code, my_enum::e1, my_enum::e2> m )
  { ①
    static_assert(std::is_same<std::error_code const &, decltype(m.matched)>::value);
    assert(m.matched == my_enum::e1 || m.matched == my_enum::e2);

    ....
  } );
```

① The handler is selected if an object of type `std::error_code`, which compares equal to `e1` or to `e2`, is associated with the detected error.

Example 3: Handling of a specific std::error_code::category (requires at least C++17).

```cpp
enum class enum_a { a1=1, a2, a3 };
enum class enum_b { b1=1, b2, b3 };

namespace std
{
  template <> struct is_error_code_enum<enum_a>: std::true_type { };
  template <> struct is_error_code_enum<enum_b>: std::true_type { };
}

leaf::try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  []( leaf::match<std::error_code, leaf::category<enum_a>, enum_b::b2> m )
  { ①
    static_assert(std::is_same<std::error_code const &, decltype(m.matched)>::value);
    assert(&m.matched.category() == &std::error_code(enum_{}).category() || m.matched
== enum_b::b2);
    ....
  } );
```

① The handler is selected if an object of type `std::error_code`, which either has the same `std::error_category` as that of `enum_a` or compares equal to `enum_b::b2`, is associated with the detected error.

The use of the `leaf::category` template requires automatic deduction of the type of each V_i, which in turn requires C++17 or newer. The same applies to the use of `std::error_code` as `E`, but LEAF provides a compatible C++11 workaround for this case, using the template `condition`. The following is equivalent to Example 2:

```cpp
enum class my_enum { e1=1, e2, e3 };

namespace std
{
  template <> struct is_error_code_enum<my_enum>: std::true_type { };
}

leaf::try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  []( leaf::match<leaf::condition<my_enum>, my_enum::e1, my_enum::e2> m )
  {
    static_assert(std::is_same<std::error_code const &, decltype(m.matched)>::value);
    assert(m.matched == my_enum::e1 || m.matched == my_enum::e2);
    ....
  } );
```

Instead of a set of values, the `match` template can be given pointers to functions that implement a custom comparison. In the following example, we define a handler which will be selected to handle any error that communicates an object of the user-defined type `severity` with value greater than 4:

Example 5: Handling of failures with severity::value greater than a specified threshold (requires at least C++17).

```cpp
struct severity { int value; }

template <int S>
constexpr bool severity_greater_than( severity const & e ) noexcept
{
  return e.value > S;
}

leaf::try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  []( leaf::match<severity, severity_greater_than<4>> m )
  {
    static_assert(std::is_same<severity const &, decltype(m.matched)>::value);
    assert(m.matched.value > 4);
    ....
  } );
```

match_member

#include <boost/leaf/pred.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <auto, auto... V>
  struct match_member;

  template <class E, class T, T E::* P, auto... V>
  struct match_member<P, V...>
  {
    E const & matched;

    // Other members not specified
  };

  template <auto P, auto... V>
  struct is_predicate<match_member<P, V...>>: std::true_type
  {
  };

} }
```

This predicate is similar to `match_value`, but able to bind any accessible data member of `E`; e.g. `match_member<&E::value, V…>` is equivalent to `match_value<E, V…>`.

> **ℹ** See also <u>Using Predicates to Handle Errors</u>.

> **⚠** `match_member` requires at least C++17, whereas `match_value` does not.

match_value

#include <boost/leaf/pred.hpp>

```cpp
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class E, auto... V>
  struct match_value
  {
    E const & matched;

    // Other members not specified
  };

  template <class E, auto... V>
  struct is_predicate<match_value<E, V...>>: std::true_type
  {
  };

} }
```

This predicate is similar to `match`, but where `match` compares the available error object `e` of type `E` to the specified values `V…`, `match_value` works with `e.value`.

> **ℹ** See also <u>Using Predicates to Handle Errors</u>.

Example:

```cpp
struct e_errno { int value; }

leaf::try_handle_some(

  []
  {
    return f(); // returns leaf::result<T>
  },

  []( leaf::match_value<e_errno, ENOENT> m )
  { ①
    static_assert(std::is_same<e_errno const &, decltype(m.matched)>::value);
    assert(m.matched.value == ENOENT);
    ....
  } );
```

① The handler is selected if an object of type <u>e_errno</u>, with `.value` equal to ENOENT, is associated with the detected error.

Reference: Traits

💡 The contents of each Reference section are organized alphabetically.

is_predicate

#include <boost/leaf/pred.hpp>>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class T>
  struct is_predicate: std::false_type
  {
  };

} }
```

The `is_predicate` template is used by the [handler selection procedure](#) to detect predicate types. See [Using Predicates to Handle Errors](#).

---

is_result_type

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>>

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

  template <class R>
  struct is_result_type: std::false_type
  {
  };

} }
```

The error handling functionality provided by `try_handle_some` and `try_handle_all` — including the ability to [load](#) error objects of arbitrary types — is compatible with any external `result<T>` type R, as long as for a given object `r` of type `R`:

- If `bool(r)` is `true`, `r` indicates success, in which case it is valid to call `r.value()` to recover the `T` value.

- Otherwise `r` indicates a failure, in which case it is valid to call `r.error()`. The returned value is used to initialize an `error_id` (note: `error_id` can be initialized by `std::error_code`).

To use an external `result<T>` type R, you must specialize the `is_result_type` template so that `is_result_type<R>::value` evaluates to `true`.

Naturally, the provided `leaf::`[result](#)`<T>` class template satisfies these requirements. In addition,

it allows error objects to be transported across thread boundaries, using a
`std::shared_ptr<polymorphic_context>`.

Reference: Macros

The contents of each Reference section are organized alphabetically.

BOOST_LEAF_ASSIGN

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```
#define BOOST_LEAF_ASSIGN(v, r)\
  auto && <<temp>> = r;\
  if( !<<temp>> )\
    return <<temp>>.error();\
  v = std::forward<decltype(<<temp>>)>(<<temp>>).value()
```

BOOST_LEAF_ASSIGN is useful when calling a function that returns `result<T>` (other than `result<void>`), if the desired behavior is to forward any errors to the caller verbatim.

In case of success, the result `value()` of type `T` is assigned to the specified variable `v`, which must have been declared prior to invoking BOOST_LEAF_ASSIGN. However, it is possible to use BOOST_LEAF_ASSIGN to declare a new variable, by passing in `v` its type together with its name, e.g. `BOOST_LEAF_ASSIGN(auto && x, f())` calls `f`, forwards errors to the caller, while capturing successful values in `x`.

See also BOOST_LEAF_AUTO.

BOOST_LEAF_AUTO

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```
#define BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v, r)\
  BOOST_LEAF_ASSIGN(auto v, r)
```

BOOST_LEAF_ASSIGN

BOOST_LEAF_AUTO is useful when calling a function that returns `result<T>` (other than `result<void>`), if the desired behavior is to forward any errors to the caller verbatim.

Example:

```
leaf::result<int> compute_value();

leaf::result<float> add_values()
{
  BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v1, compute_value()); ①
  BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(v2, compute_value()); ②
  return v1 + v2;
}
```

① Call `compute_value`, bail out on failure, define a local variable `v1` on success.

② Call `compute_value` again, bail out on failure, define a local variable `v2` on success.

Of course, we could write `add_value` without using `BOOST_LEAF_AUTO`. This is equivalent:

```
leaf::result<float> add_values()
{
  auto v1 = compute_value();
  if( !v1 )
    return v1.error();

  auto v2 = compute_value();
  if( !v2 )
    return v2.error();

  return v1.value() + v2.value();
}
```

> **ℹ** See also `BOOST_LEAF_ASSIGN`.

BOOST_LEAF_CHECK

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```
#define BOOST_LEAF_CHECK(r)\
    auto && <<temp>> = r;\
    if( <<temp>> )\
      ;\
    else\
      return <<temp>>.error()
```

`BOOST_LEAF_CHECK` is useful when calling a function that returns `result<void>`, if the desired behavior is to forward any errors to the caller verbatim.

Example:

```
leaf::result<void> send_message( char const * msg );

leaf::result<int> compute_value();

leaf::result<int> say_hello_and_compute_value()
{
  BOOST_LEAF_CHECK(send_message("Hello!")); ①
  return compute_value();
}
```

① Try to send a message, then compute a value, report errors using BOOST_LEAF_CHECK.

Equivalent implementation without BOOST_LEAF_CHECK:

```
leaf::result<float> add_values()
{
  auto r = send_message("Hello!");
  if( !r )
    return r.error();

  return compute_value();
}
```

BOOST_LEAF_EXCEPTION

#include <boost/leaf/exception.hpp>

```
#define BOOST_LEAF_EXCEPTION <<voodoo>>
```

Effects:

BOOST_LEAF_EXCEPTION(e…) is equivalent to leaf::exception(e…), except the current source location is automatically passed, in a e_source_location object (in addition to all e… objects).

BOOST_LEAF_NEW_ERROR

#include <boost/leaf/error.hpp>

```
#define BOOST_LEAF_NEW_ERROR <<voodoo>>
```

Effects:

BOOST_LEAF_NEW_ERROR(e…) is equivalent to leaf::new_error(e…), except the current

source location is automatically passed, in a <u>e_source_location</u> object (in addition to all e…
objects).

BOOST_LEAF_THROW_EXCEPTION

#include <boost/leaf/exception.hpp>

```
#define BOOST_LEAF_THROW_EXCEPTION throw BOOST_LEAF_EXCEPTION
```

Effects:

Throws the exception object returned by <u>BOOST_LEAF_EXCEPTION</u>.

Design

Rationale

Definition:

Objects that carry information about error conditions are called error objects. For example, objects of type `std::error_code` are error objects.

The following reasoning is independent of the mechanism used to transport error objects, whether it is exception handling or anything else.

Definition:

Depending on their interaction with error objects, functions can be classified as follows:

- **Error initiating**: functions that initiate error conditions by creating new error objects.

- **Error neutral**: functions that forward to the caller error objects communicated by lower-level functions they call.

- **Error handling**: functions that dispose of error objects they have received, recovering normal program operation.

A crucial observation is that *error initiating* functions are typically low-level functions that lack any context and can not determine, much less dictate, the correct program behavior in response to the errors they may initiate. Error conditions which (correctly) lead to termination in some programs may (correctly) be ignored in others; yet other programs may recover from them and resume normal operation.

The same reasoning applies to *error neutral* functions, but in this case there is the additional issue that the errors they need to communicate, in general, are initiated by functions multiple levels removed from them in the call chain, functions which usually are — and should be treated as — implementation details. An *error neutral* function should not be coupled with error object types communicated by *error initiating* functions, for the same reason it should not be coupled with any other aspect of their interface.

Finally, *error handling* functions, by definition, have the full context they need to deal with at least some, if not all, failures. In their scope it is an absolute necessity that the author knows exactly what information must be communicated by lower level functions in order to recover from each error condition. Specifically, none of this necessary information can be treated as implementation details; in this case, the coupling which is to be avoided in *error neutral* functions is in fact desirable.

We're now ready to define our

Design goals:

- **Error initiating** functions should be able to communicate <u>all</u> information available to them that is relevant to the failure being reported.

- **Error neutral** functions should not be coupled with error types communicated by lower-

level *error initiating* functions. They should be able to augment any failure with additional relevant information available to them.

- **Error handling** functions should be able to access all the information communicated by *error initiating* or *error neutral* functions that is needed in order to deal with failures.

The design goal that *error neutral* functions are not coupled with the static type of error objects that pass through them seems to require dynamic polymorphism and therefore dynamic memory allocations (the Boost Exception library meets this design goal at the cost of dynamic memory allocation).

As it turns out, dynamic memory allocation is not necessary due to the following

Fact:

- **Error handling** functions "know" which of the information *error initiating* and *error neutral* functions are able to communicate is <u>actually needed</u> in order to deal with failures in a particular program. Ideally, no resources should be ~~used~~ wasted storing or communicating information which is not currently needed to handle errors, <u>even if it is relevant to the failure</u>.

For example, if a library function is able to communicate an error code but the program does not need to know the exact error code, then that information may be ignored at the time the library function attempts to communicate it. On the other hand, if an *error handling* function needs that information, the memory needed to store it can be reserved statically in its scope.

The LEAF functions `try_handle_some`, `try_handle_all` and `try_catch` implement this idea. Users provide error handling lambda functions, each taking arguments of the types it needs in order to recover from a particular error condition. LEAF simply provides the space needed to store these types (in the form of a `std::tuple`, using automatic storage duration) until they are passed to a suitable handler.

At the time this space is reserved in the scope of an error handling function, `thread_local` pointers of the required error types are set to point to the corresponding objects within it. Later on, *error initiating* or *error neutral* functions wanting to communicate an error object of a given type `E` use the corresponding `thread_local` pointer to detect if there is currently storage available for this type:

- If the pointer is not null, storage is available and the object is moved into the pointed storage, exactly once — regardless of how many levels of function calls must unwind before an *error handling* function is reached.

- If the pointer is null, storage is not available and the error object is discarded, since no error handling function makes any use of it in this program — saving resources.

This almost works, except we need to make sure that *error handling* functions are protected from accessing stale error objects stored in response to previous failures, which would be a serious logic error. To this end, each occurrence of an error is assigned a unique `error_id`. Each of the `E`... objects stored in error handling scopes is assigned an `error_id` as well, permanently associating it with a particular failure.

Thus, to handle a failure we simply match the available error objects (associated with its unique

error_id) with the argument types required by each user-provided error handling function. In terms of C++ exception handling, it is as if we could write something like:

```
try
{
  auto r = process_file();

  //Success, use r:
  ....
}

catch(file_read_error &, e_file_name const & fn, e_errno const & err)
{
  std::cerr <<
    "Could not read " << fn << ", errno=" << err << std::endl;
}

catch(file_read_error &, e_errno const & err)
{
  std::cerr <<
    "File read error, errno=" << err << std::endl;
}

catch(file_read_error &)
{
  std::cerr << "File read error!" << std::endl;
}
```

Of course this syntax is not valid, so LEAF uses lambda functions to express the same idea:

```
leaf::try_catch(

  []
  {
    auto r = process_file(); //Throws in case of failure, error objects stored inside
the try_catch scope

    //Success, use r:
    ....
  }

  [](file_read_error &, e_file_name const & fn, e_errno const & err)
  {
    std::cerr <<
      "Could not read " << fn << ", errno=" << err << std::endl;
  },

  [](file_read_error &, e_errno const & err)
  {
    std::cerr <<
      "File read error, errno=" << err << std::endl;
  },

  [](file_read_error &)
  {
    std::cerr << "File read error!" << std::endl;
  } );
```

try_catch | e_file_name | e_errno

Similar syntax works without exception handling as well. Below is the same snippet, written using
result<T>:

```
return leaf::try_handle_some(

  []() -> leaf::result<void>
  {
    BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(r, process_file()); //In case of errors, error objects are stored
inside the try_handle_some scope

    //Success, use r:
    ....

    return { };
  }

  [](leaf::match<error_enum, file_read_error>, e_file_name const & fn, e_errno const &
err)
  {
    std::cerr <<
      "Could not read " << fn << ", errno=" << err << std::endl;
  },

  [](leaf::match<error_enum, file_read_error>, e_errno const & err)
  {
    std::cerr <<
      "File read error, errno=" << err << std::endl;
  },

  [](leaf::match<error_enum, file_read_error>)
  {
    std::cerr << "File read error!" << std::endl;
  } );
```

result | try_handle_some | match | e_file_name | e_errno

Please post questions and feedback on the Boost Developers Mailing List.

Critique 1: Error Types Do Not Participate in Function Signatures

A knee-jerk critique of the LEAF design is that it does not statically enforce that each possible error condition is recognized and handled by the program. One idea I've heard from multiple sources is to add E… parameter pack to result<T>, essentially turning it into expected<T,E…>, so we could write something along these lines:

```
expected<T, E1, E2, E3> f() noexcept; ①

expected<T, E1, E3> g() noexcept ②
{
  if( expected<T, E1, E2, E3> r = f() )
  {
    return r; //Success, return the T
  }
  else
  {
    return r.handle_error<E2>( [] ( .... ) ③
      {
        ....
      } );
  }
}
```

① `f` may only return error objects of type `E1`, `E2`, `E3`.

② `g` narrows that to only `E1` and `E3`.

③ Because `g` may only return error objects of type `E1` and `E3`, it uses `handle_error` to deal with `E2`. In case `r` contains `E1` or `E3`, `handle_error` simply returns `r`, narrowing the error type parameter pack from `E1`, `E2`, `E3` down to `E1`, `E3`. If `r` contains an `E2`, `handle_error` calls the supplied lambda, which is required to return one of `E1`, `E3` (or a valid `T`).

The motivation here is to help avoid bugs in functions that handle errors that pop out of `g`: as long as the programmer deals with `E1` and `E3`, he can rest assured that no error is left unhandled.

Congratulations, we've just discovered exception specifications. The difference is that exception specifications, before being removed from C++, were enforced dynamically, while this idea is equivalent to statically-enforced exception specifications, like they are in Java.

Why not use the equivalent of exception specifications, even if they are enforced statically?

> The short answer is that nobody knows how to fix exception specifications in any language, because the dynamic enforcement C++ chose has only different (not greater or fewer) problems than the static enforcement Java chose. ... When you go down the Java path, people love exception specifications until they find themselves all too often encouraged, or even forced, to add `throws Exception`, which immediately renders the exception specification entirely meaningless. (Example: Imagine writing a Java generic that manipulates an arbitrary type `T`).[1]
>
> — Herb Sutter

Consider again the example above: assuming we don't want important error-related information to be lost, values of type `E1` and/or `E3` must be able to encode any `E2` value dynamically. But like Sutter points out, in generic contexts we don't know what errors may result in calling a user-supplied

function. The only way around that is to specify a single type (e.g. `std::error_code`) that can communicate any and all errors, which ultimately defeats the idea of using static type checking to enforce correct error handling.

That said, in every program there are certain *error handling* functions (e.g. `main`) which are required to handle any error, and it is highly desirable to be able to enforce this requirement at compile-time. In LEAF, the `try_handle_all` function implements this idea: if the user fails to supply at least one handler that will match any error, the result is a compile error. This guarantees that the scope invoking `try_handle_all` is prepared to recover from any failure.

Critique 2: LEAF Does Not Facilitate Mapping Between Different Error Types

Most C++ programs use multiple C and C++ libraries, and each library may provide its own system of error codes. But because it is difficult to define static interfaces that can communicate arbitrary error code types, a popular idea is to map each library-specific error code to a common program-wide enum.

For example, if we have —

```
namespace lib_a
{
  enum error
  {
    ok,
    ec1,
    ec2,
    ....
  };
}
```

```
namespace lib_b
{
  enum error
  {
    ok,
    ec1,
    ec2,
    ....
  };
}
```

— we could define:

```
namespace program
{
  enum error
  {
    ok,
    lib_a_ec1,
    lib_a_ec2,
    ....
    lib_b_ec1,
    lib_b_ec2,
    ....
  };
}
```

An error handling library could provide conversion API that uses the C++ static type system to automate the mapping between the different error enums. For example, it may define a class template `result<T,E>` with value-or-error variant semantics, so that:

- `lib_a` errors are transported in `result<T,lib_a::error>`,

- `lib_b` errors are transported in `result<T,lib_b::error>`,

- then both are automatically mapped to `result<T,program::error>` once control reaches the appropriate scope.

There are several problems with this idea:

- It is prone to errors, both during the initial implementation as well as under maintenance.

- It does not compose well. For example, if both of `lib_a` and `lib_b` use `lib_c`, errors that originate in `lib_c` would be obfuscated by the different APIs exposed by each of `lib_a` and `lib_b`.

- It presumes that all errors in the program can be specified by exactly one error code, which is false.

To elaborate on the last point, consider a program that attempts to read a configuration file from three different locations: in case all of the attempts fail, it should communicate each of the failures. In theory `result<T,E>` handles this case well:

```
struct attempted_location
{
  std::string path;
  error ec;
};

struct config_error
{
  attempted_location current_dir, user_dir, app_dir;
};

result<config,config_error> read_config();
```

This looks nice, until we realize what the `config_error` type means for the automatic mapping API we wanted to define: an `enum` can not represent a `struct`. It is a fact that we can not assume that all error conditions can be fully specified by an `enum`; an error handling library must be able to transport arbitrary static types efficiently.

Critique 3: LEAF Does Not Treat Low Level Error Types as Implementation Details

This critique is a combination of <u>Critique 1</u> and <u>Critique 2</u>, but it deserves special attention. Let's consider this example using LEAF:

```
leaf::result<std::string> read_line( reader & r );

leaf::result<parsed_line> parse_line( std::string const & line );

leaf::result<parsed_line> read_and_parse_line( reader & r )
{
  BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(line, read_line(r));   ①
  BOOST_LEAF_AUTO(parsed, parse_line(line));   ②
  return parsed;
}
```

<div align="right"><u>result</u> | <u>BOOST_LEAF_AUTO</u></div>

① Read a line, forward errors to the caller.

② Parse the line, forward errors to the caller.

The objection is that LEAF will forward verbatim the errors that are detected in `read_line` or `parse_line` to the caller of `read_and_parse_line`. The premise of this objection is that such low-level errors are implementation details and should be treated as such. Under this premise, `read_and_parse_line` should act as a translator of sorts, in both directions:

- When called, it should translate its own arguments to call `read_line` and `parse_line`;

- If an error is detected, it should translate the errors from the error types returned by `read_line` and `parse_line` to a higher-level type.

The motivation is to isolate the caller of `read_and_parse_line` from its implementation details `read_line` and `parse_line`.

There are two possible ways to implement this translation:

1) `read_and_parse_line` understands the semantics of **all possible failures** that may be reported by both `read_line` and `parse_line`, implementing a non-trivial mapping which both *erases* information that is considered not relevant to its caller, as well as encodes *different* semantics in the error it reports. In this case `read_and_parse_line` assumes full responsibility for describing precisely what went wrong, using its own type specifically designed for the job.

2) `read_and_parse_line` returns an error object that essentially indicates which of the two inner functions failed, and also transports the original error object without understanding its semantics and without any loss of information, wrapping it in a new error type.

The problem with **1)** is that typically the caller of `read_and_parse_line` is not going to handle the error, but it does need to forward it to its caller. In our attempt to protect the **one** error handling function from "implementation details", we've coupled the interface of **all** intermediate error neutral functions with the static types of errors they do not understand and do not handle.

Consider the case where `read_line` communicates `errno` in its errors. What is `read_and_parse_line` supposed to do with e.g. `EACCESS`? Turn it into `READ_AND_PARSE_LINE_EACCESS`? To what end, other than to obfuscate the original (already complex and platform-specific) semantics of `errno`?

And what if the call to `read` is polymorphic, which is also typical? What if it involves a user-supplied function object? What kinds of errors does it return and why should `read_and_parse_line` care?

Therefore, we're left with **2)**. There's almost nothing wrong with this option, since it passes any and all error-related information from lower level functions without any loss. However, using a wrapper type to grant (presumably dynamic) access to any lower-level error type it may be transporting is cumbersome and (like Niall Douglas [explains](#)) in general probably requires dynamic allocations. It is better to use independent error types that communicate the additional information not available in the original error object, while error handlers rely on LEAF to provide efficient access to any and all low-level error types, as needed.

[1] https://herbsutter.com/2007/01/24/questions-about-exception-specifications/

Alternatives to LEAF

- Boost Exception

- Boost Outcome

- `tl::expected`

Below we offer a comparison of Boost LEAF to Boost Exception and to Boost Outcome.

Comparison to Boost Exception

While LEAF can be used without exception handling, in the use case when errors are communicated by throwing exceptions, it can be viewed as a better, more efficient alternative to Boost Exception. LEAF has the following advantages over Boost Exception:

- LEAF does not allocate memory dynamically;

- LEAF does not waste system resources communicating error objects not used by specific error handling functions;

- LEAF does not store the error objects in the exception object, and therefore it is able to augment exceptions thrown by external libraries (Boost Exception can only augment exceptions of types that derive from `boost::exception`).

The following tables outline the differences between the two libraries which should be considered when code that uses Boost Exception is refactored to use LEAF instead.

> 🛈 It is possible to access Boost Exception error information using the LEAF error handling interface. See Boost Exception Integration.

Table 1. Defining a custom type for transporting values of type T

Boost Exception	LEAF
```typedef error_info<struct my_info_,T> my_info;```	```struct my_info { T value; };```
boost::error_info	

Table 2. Passing arbitrary info at the point of the throw

Boost Exception	LEAF
```throw my_exception() << my_info(x) << my_info(y);```	```throw leaf::exception(my_exception(), my_info{x}, my_info{y});```
operator<<	exception

Table 3. Augmenting exceptions in error neutral contexts

Boost Exception	LEAF

``cpp
try
{
  f();
}
catch(boost::exception & e)
{
  e << my_info(x);
  throw;
}
``
	``cpp
	auto load = leaf::on_error(my_info{x}
	);
	
	f();
	``

boost::exception | operator<<	on_error

Table 4. Obtaining arbitrary info at the point of the catch

Boost Exception	LEAF

``cpp
try
{
  f();
}
catch(my_exception & e)
{
  if(T * v = get_error_info<my_info>(e)
)
  {
    //my_info is available in e.
  }
}
``
	``cpp
	leaf::try_catch(
	  []
	  {
	    f(); // throws
	  }
	  [](my_exception &, my_info const & x)
	  {
	    //my_info is available with
	    //the caught exception.
	  });
	``

boost::get_error_info	try_catch

Table 5. Transporting of error objects

Boost Exception	LEAF
All supplied boost::error_info objects are allocated dynamically and stored in the boost::exception subobject of exception objects.	User-defined error objects are stored statically in the scope of try_catch, but only if their types are needed to handle errors; otherwise they are discarded.

Table 6. Transporting of error objects across thread boundaries

Boost Exception	LEAF
`boost::exception_ptr` automatically captures `boost::error_info` objects stored in a `boost::exception` and can transport them across thread boundaries.	Transporting error objects across thread boundaries requires the use of `capture`.

Table 7. Printing of error objects in automatically-generated diagnostic information messages

Boost Exception	LEAF
`boost::error_info` types may define conversion to `std::string` by providing `to_string` overloads **or** by overloading `operator<<` for `std::ostream`.	LEAF does not use `to_string`. Error types may define `operator<<` overloads for `std::ostream`.

> ⚠️ The fact that Boost Exception stores all supplied `boost::error_info` objects — while LEAF discards them if they aren't needed — affects the completeness of the message we get when we print `leaf::`diagnostic_info objects, compared to the string returned by boost::diagnostic_information.
>
> If the user requires a complete diagnostic message, the solution is to use `leaf::`verbose_diagnostic_info. In this case, before unused error objects are discarded by LEAF, they are converted to string and printed. Note that this allocates memory dynamically.

Comparison to Boost Outcome

Design Differences

Like LEAF, the Boost Outcome library is designed to work in low latency environments. It provides two class templates, `result<>` and `outcome<>`:

- `result<T,EC,NVP>` can be used as the return type in `noexcept` functions which may fail, where `T` specifies the type of the return value in case of success, while `EC` is an "error code" type. Semantically, `result<T,EC>` is similar to `std::variant<T,EC>`. Naturally, `EC` defaults to `std::error_code`.

- `outcome<T,EC,EP,NVP>` is similar to `result<>`, but in case of failure, in addition to the "error code" type `EC` it can hold a "pointer" object of type `EP`, which defaults to `std::exception_ptr`.

> ℹ️ `NVP` is a policy type used to customize the behavior of `.value()` when the `result<>` or the `outcome<>` object contains an error.

The idea is to use `result<>` to communicate failures which can be fully specified by an "error code", and `outcome<>` to communicate failures that require additional information.

Another way to describe this design is that `result<>` is used when it suffices to return an error object of some static type `EC`, while `outcome<>` can also transport a polymorphic error object, using the pointer type `EP`.

> In the default configuration of `outcome<T>` the additional information — or the additional polymorphic object — is an exception object held by `std::exception_ptr`. This targets the use case when an exception thrown by a lower-level library function needs to be transported through some intermediate contexts that are not exception-safe, to a higher-level context able to handle it. LEAF directly supports this use as well, see exception_to_result.

Similar reasoning drives the design of LEAF as well. The difference is that while both libraries recognize the need to transport "something else" in addition to an "error code", LEAF provides an efficient solution to this problem, while Outcome shifts this burden to the user.

The `leaf::result<>` template deletes both `EC` and `EP`, which decouples it from the type of the error objects that are transported in case of a failure. This enables lower-level functions to freely communicate anything and everything they "know" about the failure: error code, even multiple error codes, file names, URLs, port numbers, etc. At the same time, the higher-level error handling functions control which of this information is needed in a specific client program and which is not. This is ideal, because:

- Authors of lower-level library functions lack context to determine which of the information that is both relevant to the error *and* naturally available to them needs to be communicated in order for a particular client program to recover from that error;

- Authors of higher-level error handling functions can easily and confidently make this determination, which they communicate naturally to LEAF, by simply writing the different error handlers. LEAF will transport the needed error objects while discarding the ones handlers don't care to use, saving resources.

> The LEAF examples include an adaptation of the program from the Boost Outcome result<> tutorial. You can view it on GitHub.

> Programs using LEAF for error handling are not required to use `leaf::result<T>`; for example, it is possible to use `outcome::result<T>` with LEAF.

The Interoperability Problem

The Boost Outcome documentation discusses the important problem of bringing together multiple libraries — each using its own error reporting mechanism — and incorporating them in a robust error handling infrastructure in a client program.

Users are advised that whenever possible they should use a common error handling system throughout their entire codebase, but because this is not practical, both the `result<>` and the `outcome<>` templates can carry user-defined "payloads".

The following analysis is from the Boost Outcome documentation:

If library A uses `result<T, libraryA::failure_info>`, and library B uses `result<T, libraryB::error_info>` and so on, there becomes a problem for the application writer who is bringing in these third party dependencies and tying them together into an application. As a general rule, each third party library author will not have built in explicit interoperation support for unknown other third party libraries. The problem therefore lands with the application writer.

The application writer has one of three choices:

1. In the application, the form of result used is `result<T, std::variant<E1, E2, …>>` where `E1`, `E2` … are the failure types for every third party library in use in the application. This has the advantage of preserving the original information exactly, but comes with a certain amount of use inconvenience and maybe excessive coupling between high level layers and implementation detail.

2. One can translate/map the third party's failure type into the application's failure type at the point of the failure exiting the third party library and entering the application. One might do this, say, with a C preprocessor macro wrapping every invocation of the third party API from the application. This approach may lose the original failure detail, or mis-map under certain circumstances if the mapping between the two systems is not one-one.

3. One can type erase the third party's failure type into some application failure type, which can later be reconstituted if necessary. **This is the cleanest solution with the least coupling issues and no problems with mis-mapping**, but it almost certainly requires the use of `malloc` which the previous two did not.

The analysis above (emphasis added) is clear and precise, but LEAF and Boost Outcome tackle the interoperability problem differently:

- The Boost Outcome design asserts that the "cleanest" solution based on type-erasure is suboptimal ("almost certainly requires the use of `malloc`"), and instead provides a system for injecting custom converters into the `outcome::convert` namespace, used to translate between library-specific and program-wide error types, even though this approach "may lose the original failure detail".

- The LEAF design asserts that coupling the signatures of <u>error neutral</u> functions with the static types of the error objects they need to forward to the caller <u>does not scale</u>, and instead transports error objects directly to error handling scopes where they are stored statically, effectively implementing the third choice outlined above (without the use of `malloc`).

Further, consider that Outcome aims to hopefully become *the* one error handling API all libraries would use, and in theory everyone would benefit from uniformity and standardization. But the reality is that this is wishful thinking. In fact, that reality is reflected in the design of `outcome::result<>`, in its lack of commitment to using `std::error_code` for its intended purpose: to be *the* standard type for transporting error codes. The fact is that `std::error_code` became *yet another* error code type programmers need to understand and support.

In contrast, the design of LEAF acknowledges that C++ programmers don't even agree on what a string is. If your project uses 10 different libraries, this probably means 15 different ways to report

errors, sometimes across uncooperative interfaces (e.g. C APIs). LEAF helps you get the job done.

Benchmark

This benchmark compares the performance of LEAF, Boost Outcome and `tl::expected`.

Running the Unit Tests

The unit tests can be run with <u>Meson Build</u> or with Boost Build. To run the unit tests:

Meson Build

Clone LEAF into any local directory and execute:

```
cd leaf
meson bld/debug
cd bld/debug
meson test
```

See `meson_options.txt` found in the root directory for available build options.

Boost Build

Assuming the current working directory is `<boostroot>/libs/leaf`:

```
../../b2 test
```

Configuration

The following configuration macros are recognized:

- `BOOST_LEAF_DIAGNOSTICS`: Defining this macro as `0` stubs out both <u>diagnostic info</u> and <u>verbose diagnostic info</u> (if the macro is left undefined, LEAF defines it as `1`).

- `BOOST_LEAF_USE_STD_SYSTEM_ERROR`: Defining this macro as `0` disables the `std::error_code` / `std::error_condition` integration. In this case LEAF does not `#include <system_error>`, which may be too heavy for embedded platforms (if the macro is left undefined, LEAF defines it as `1`).

- `BOOST_LEAF_USE_STD_STRING`: Defining this macro as `0` disables all use of `std::string` (this requires `BOOST_LEAF_DIAGNOSTICS=0` as well). In this case LEAF does not `#include <string>` which may be too heavy for embedded platforms (if the macro is left undefined, LEAF defines it as `1`).

- `BOOST_LEAF_NO_EXCEPTIONS`: Disables all exception handling support. If left undefined, LEAF defines it automatically based on the compiler configuration (e.g. `-fno-exceptions`).

- `BOOST_LEAF_NO_THREADS`: Disables all thread safety in LEAF.

Embedded Platforms

Defining `BOOST_LEAF_EMBEDDED` is equivalent to the following:

```
#ifndef BOOST_LEAF_NO_EXCEPTIONS
# define BOOST_LEAF_NO_EXCEPTIONS
#endif

#ifndef BOOST_LEAF_DIAGNOSTICS
# define BOOST_LEAF_DIAGNOSTICS 0
#endif

#ifndef BOOST_LEAF_USE_STD_SYSTEM_ERROR
# define BOOST_LEAF_USE_STD_SYSTEM_ERROR 0
#endif

#ifndef BOOST_LEAF_USE_STD_STRING
# define BOOST_LEAF_USE_STD_STRING 0
#endif
```

LEAF supports FreeRTOS out of the box, please define `BOOST_LEAF_TLS_FREERTOS` (in which case LEAF automatically defines `BOOST_LEAF_EMBEDDED` if it is not defined already).

For other embedded platforms, please define `BOOST_LEAF_TLS_ARRAY` and make sure your project defines the following two functions to implement TLS pointer access:

```
namespace boost { namespace leaf {

namespace tls
{
    void * read_void_ptr( int tls_index ) noexcept;
    void write_void_ptr( int tls_index, void * p ) noexcept;
}

} }
```

💡 For efficiency, `read_void_ptr` and `write_void_ptr` should be defined `inline`.

Under `BOOST_LEAF_TLS_ARRAY` (which includes `BOOST_LEAF_TLS_FREERTOS`):

- Each error type is assigned a unique index in the TLS pointer array. By default, assigned TLS indices start from 0; this can be changed by defining `BOOST_LEAF_TLS_ARRAY_START_INDEX`.

- If `BOOST_LEAF_TLS_ARRAY_SIZE` is defined, LEAF will use it to `BOOST_LEAF_ASSERT` that TLS indices are within bounds (which is of course deactivated under `NDEBUG`).

- Under `BOOST_LEAF_TLS_FREERTOS`, `BOOST_LEAF_TLS_ARRAY_SIZE` defaults to `configNUM_THREAD_LOCAL_STORAGE_POINTERS`.

If your program does not use concurrency at all, simply define `BOOST_LEAF_NO_THREADS`, which requires no TLS support at all (but is NOT thread-safe).

Limitations

When using dynamic linking, it is required that error types are declared with `default` visibility, e.g.:

```
struct __attribute__ ((visibility ("default"))) my_error_info
{
    int value;
};
```

This works as expected except on Windows, where thread-local storage is not shared between the individual binary modules. For this reason, to transport error objects across DLL boundaries, it is required that they're captured in a `polymorphic_context`, just like when Transporting Error Objects Between Threads.

When using dynamic linking, it is always best to define module interfaces in terms of C (and implement them in C++ if appropriate).

Acknowledgements

Documentation rendered by Asciidoctor with these customizations.